I. CALL TO ORDER

Chair Waihe’e calls the Committee on Resource Management meeting for Tuesday, November 30, 2021, to order at 1:30 p.m.

Chair Waihe’e notes for the record that PRESENT are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEMBERS</th>
<th>AT CALL TO ORDER (1:30 p.m.)</th>
<th>TIME ARRIVED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHAIR JOHN WAIHE’E, IV</td>
<td>PRESENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRUSTEE LEINA’ALA AHU ISA</td>
<td>PRESENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRUSTEE DAN AHUNA</td>
<td>PRESENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRUSTEE KALEIHIKINA AKAKA</td>
<td>PRESENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRUSTEE BRENDON KALEI’ĀINA LEE</td>
<td>PRESENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRUSTEE KEOLA LINDSEY</td>
<td>PRESENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRUSTEE CARMEN “HULU” LINDSEY</td>
<td>PRESENT</td>
<td>ARRIVED AT 1:38 P.M.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At the Call to Order, SIX (6) Trustees are PRESENT, thereby constituting a quorum.

EXCUSED from the RM Meeting are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEMBERS</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TRUSTEE KELI’I AKINA</td>
<td>MEMO – REQUESTING TO BE EXCUSED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRUSTEE LUANA ALAPA</td>
<td>MEMO – REQUESTING TO BE EXCUSED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
II. PUBLIC TESTIMONY on Items Listed on the Agenda*

None

III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

None

IV. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

None

Chair Waiheʻe states that Ka Pouhana Sylvia Hussey, is having some technical difficulties and has requested to take V.B. Presentation: Legacy Land Program & Portfolio, Wahiawā Lands out of order while IT works on her computer.

V. NEW BUSINESS

B. Presentation: Legacy Land Program & Portfolio, Wahiawā Lands – Kalani Fronda, Land Director

Chair Waiheʻe turns it directly over to Land Director, Kalani Fronda.

Land Director Fronda: Aloha Chair Waiheʻe and Trustees. As we’ve been sharing in several meetings about our Legacy Lands Program, we’d like to spend a little more time on Wahiawā. With me today is Interim Legacy Land Manager, Lori Walker and Legacy Land Specialist, Taylor Asao. I will share the PowerPoint and turn it over to Lori, to take it from here.
Interim Legacy Land Manager Walker:  Aloha nui kākou. Mahalo again for giving us time to share the third part in our paia ‘āina series. Today we’ll be focusing on our Wahiawā Lands property; providing an update on our management and development activities. We’ll go through the highlighted priorities for this Fiscal Year, the background of the property, updates on the projects that have been going on, and then next steps along with any questions you may have.

Interim Legacy Land Manager Walker:  This slide is an overview of the property for your reference, with some quick facts, which Taylor will share more on, shortly.

The next slide are our priorities for the Wahiawā property, we’ve organized our portfolio of work. It’s quite a substantial portfolio of work for the development of this property. These are based on the resources that we have available. The priorities that we will be focusing on this Fiscal Year; we are synthesizing our Conceptual Master Plan (CMP) in a partnership with the University of Hawai‘i Community Design Center (UHCDC). We will be completing conceptual designs for the CMP Facilities, and we are also completing an Environmental Assessment for these facilities at the property.

We will be doing phase two of the eucalyptus tree removal around the Birth Stones and we will also be developing our Native Forest Restoration Projects.
Legacy Land Specialist Asao: I’m just going to be giving a quick background. I think a lot of you folks already know about Kūkaniloko and its Cultural Significance. Just for the sake of it, Kūkaniloko is considered the geographical and spiritual piko of O‘ahu and is viewed as one of the most sacred places in Hawai‘i. One of the main reasons for this is because of the generations of the most prominent ali‘i in Hawaiian history were born at this Chiefly birthing site. Some Ali‘i born here include Kapawa, La‘amaikahiki, Mā‘ilikūkahiki.

Then switching over to more recent periods, unfortunately this land has seen a lot of degradation over its decades. It started with the deforestation from the ‘Iliahi Trade, coupled with the later ranching period, which lead to complete deforestation and huge changes to the native ecosystem of the area.

Then of course, the Pineapple monoculture followed and further degraded the quality of the soil.

Jumping forward to 2012, OHA acquired the 511 acres surrounding the birth stone sites. The birth stone site is a five-acre lot surrounding - it is our Wahiawā Lands.

OHA’s purposes for acquisition:
1) To protect the Birth Stones by providing a buffer against future incompatible development
2) To explore the development of compatible agricultural uses and other programmatic initiatives
3) To contribute to Hawai‘i’s food self-sufficiency, preserve open space and watershed lands

Those three things will come up again later when we talk about the values for developing the property.

The acquisition was made possible with the assistance from the Trust for Public Lands, the City and County of Honolulu, and the Army. OHA was able to purchase the property by contributing $3 million. The help from the Army and the C&C resulted in the creation of a conservation easement, which restricts the use of the property for mostly agricultural and ancillary purposes.

Also, just an update; OHA has finally received the Executive Order from the Governor for control and management responsibilities for the five-acre Kūkaniloko Birth Stone site, that was received just last year in March 2020.
Legacy Land Specialist Asao: One of the first big things that OHA did for its Wahiawā lands; in 2016 they started a Conceptual Master Planning process. OHA wanted to make sure that if this plan ever happens on this property, it really needs to come from the community.

So, we created a Working Group, as well as hosted several workshops with the larger community of Wahiawā. In this picture you can see, on the top right-hand corner, that’s a picture of the working group. Their purpose was to advise OHA in the creation of the plan and to help refine all of the mana'o provided by the larger community. This group is made out of experts in various fields: agriculture, culture, business, and so forth.

The other pictures are from the other workshops that we hosted with the larger community. One of the main things that the working group strongly encouraged OHA to look at was the acquisition purposes from a Hawaiian Perspective.

Those acquisition purposes became the guiding values for the working group and will also be the guiding values for the implementation of the CMP moving forward.

- Ho'oman: being the Protection against future incompatible development
- Ho'ona‘auao: the Exploration and development of compatible agricultural uses and other programmatic & Educational initiatives
- Ho‘oulu ‘Āina: Restoration of place and watershed while contributing to Hawai‘i’s food self-sufficiency
Legacy Land Specialist Asao: The Working Group used these guiding values to envision what kinds of programmatic activities would take place on the property, and from those - four Programmatic Outcomes were identified.

- Integrated Programming: programs occurring here will intersect at multiple levels for Protection, Education, Healing, Preservation, Culture, Environment and Resource Regeneration.

- The Education Continuum: a place for Inter-Generational Engagement and Symbiotic Learning that spans the life cycles from keiki to Kupuna; and will be a way to perpetuate knowledge and culture.

- The Hub & Spoke: a program model where our communities can leverage each other’s strengths and resources to work together for collaborative partnerships to create a collective impact. Here, Kūkaniloko is the PIKO that strategically connects to other ‘āina and resources that further the Lāhui.

- Vegetation Continuum: This concept consists of the full spectrum of growth from native forest restoration, food forests/agroforestry, demonstration ag-plots, and high-tech agricultural practices. A land management strategy that aims to restore the soil.

Specific programs of these 4 outcomes may include: access management, docent training, growing stewardship capacity, programs centered around healing, cultural training and capacity building, practice of pono agriculture with the concept of growing people by growing resources, which will grow the leaders of tomorrow.

In order to run these programs, infrastructure is required. Here we have a conceptual site plan for these programmatic outcomes. They include:

- Welcome Center (Dark Blue Dot on left side) to help orient visitors to this place and teach them appropriate ways to behave in places such as this.

- Learning Center (Red Icon in the middle of the property) that could function more like a multipurpose kauhale where the bulk of programmatic education for our people and culture would take place.

- Greenhouse (Small Green Dot on the right) – To help support Vegetation Continuum programming, whether this be a place for education or revenue generation or maybe both - like MA'O farm.

- Water Storage Tank (Blue Dot at top of the map): Water is critical for this plan to work. Each of the outcomes and various programs will require water, especially the vegetation continuum. There is currently no viable water source for this property which causes a lot of limitations for scaling up.
Legacy Land Specialist Asao: This plan was presented to the RM Committee in 2018 and they approved Action Item RM #18-10 - which approved the long-term direction of the CMP and authorized OHA’s Administration to take further steps towards its implementation.

Interim Legacy Land Manager Walker: Since approval of that Action Item, these are some of the major progress that we’ve been able to achieve. We’ve secured three major design contracts, one for each that Taylor shared. The Greenhouse & Nursery, Visitor’s Center, and Water tank design.

We have developed the Kūkaniloko Advisory Hui, which is a continuation of the Working Group involvement, a few different members, but continuing Community involvement. We’ve organized and developed the portfolio and organized the priorities of projects. We are nearing the end of the conceptual design process and have also started the Environmental Assessment process.

The creation of the Kūkaniloko Advisory Hui was really incited by the transition that we were experiencing at the time. There were different leadership changes, budget realignment, COVID just started, and so we really wanted to re-engage the Community to create a level of transparency to not be making decisions in a vacuum and really to continue that Community Engagement that we had started with the original working group. We have been meeting monthly with the Kūkaniloko Advisory since August 2020. These different members all represent different areas of expertise that specifically speak to and inform the different programs and concepts that came out of the CMP.
Interim Legacy Land Manager Walker: As we work with the Kūkaniloko Advisory Hui to refine the CMP from a 40,000-foot elevation down to sort of a realistic direction forward, we’ve engaged in partnership with the University of Hawai‘i Community Design Center through the School of Architecture to help us refine the CMP to a more realistic Master Plan.

As we’ve worked with the Hui, different ideas come out. So, really what UHCDC is helping us with this synthesizing all these different ideas as we refine the more conceptual thoughts from the Original Master Plan. We also have been taking strides towards the continuation of the Vegetation Continuum projects, as well as research. As we mentioned, we completed Phase 1 of the Eucalyptus Tree removal and we will be initiating Phase 2 in quarter three of next year.

This slide is what I described already about our partnership with UHCDC. They are really just helping us engage in refining the Master Plan to a more realistic plan and then we would use that Master Plan as a tool to present to the BOT for approval to proceed with the conceptual designs into design development and construction on the property.

Legacy Land Specialist Asao: Alright, we’re going to switch gears and talk about the Vegetation Continuum that we mentioned earlier. We have a partnership with University of Hawai‘i Department of Tropical Plant and Soil Sciences. They are helping us better refine what is the vegetation continuum, it’s kind of a lofty idea. They’re also taking us down a little bit closer to what is it really when we say that and also helping us to research strategies for implementing the vegetation continuum. We’ve undergone several experiments and some of you have actually been a part of it.

Here, we have a photo from our All OHA tree planting which was really, fun and a handful of Trustees were able to come - so that was really awesome. That was just one of the experiments that UH helped us to design and to facilitate.

We also did an Agriculture and Agroforestry Plot, which UH also helped to design and install.

We also did soil amendment trials to look at different soil amendments, and how that can improve the soil on the property.

Then most recently we’ve been working on Uluniu projects which we will talk about in the next couple of slides.
Legacy Land Specialist Asao: Just an update on the Native Tree plant plots - so on the top left you'll see that was just a few months after we had planted them in December, and they had already grown a lot because it was a really wet season.

In the photo just below is what they looked like earlier this year. The trees are basically taller than us, so needless to say, it was super successful. We really would like to do more, so be on the lookout for those in the future.

Then the Greenhouse Soil Trials, so really looking at the conventional way soil amendment, so like dolomite is the conventional soil amendment that is used. We also looked at biochar and anaerobic digestate, and so we kind of combined them and did them separately to see what would improve the soil pH and other nutrients in the soils. We're still collecting and monitoring those trials.
**Legacy Land Specialist Asao:** We have our Agriculture and Agroforestry Plots - these are pictures so you can see the work that goes into this.

The top left is clearing and cutting the grass, then the next one over on the right is installing the irrigation and then preparing the plants, then getting those plants into the ground so really a lot of hands on with limited staff and some volunteers.

This system was developed by UH and it’s a diverse mix of native tree crops, so species like ulu, kukui, noni, ohi'a, and maia. I can’t explain it all in detail, however there was a lot of thought put into the design - thinking about the different levels and the different species and how they will grow when they mature. The goal of this experiment was to better understand agroforestry that can be used as strategy to restore degraded land. It’s really all about the soil, if you don’t have good soil, there really isn’t much that we can do. So, we always keep that in mind whenever new projects come about.

![Ag & Agroforestry Plots](image)

**Interim Legacy Land Manager Walker:** In continuing with UH’s experimental culture and foresting projects, NiU NOW is a group that is researching ways to return niu in its traditional function to Hawai‘i.

Right now it’s considered an ornamental liability, this is an effort to reverse that. In partnership with NiU NOW, UH’s Tropical Plant and Soil Sciences, and Hawaiian Civic Club of Wahiawā, we initiated an Uluniu project which aims to establish a coconut grove, a niu gene bank, soil rejuvenation at our site and water conservation, specifically for coconut that is a benefit that the plant provides. As well as promoting community engagement and education, those hit all of our programmatic outcomes and guiding values.

We had a Planting Day on November 20, 2021, when we were able to put 108 coconuts of 16 different varieties in the ground. It was a beautiful ceremony, in alignment with Makahiki. Our partnership with NiU NOW; Indrajit Gunasekara and Manulani Meyer, they are both leading the NiU NOW effort and we look forward to annual mālama days for this coconut grove. It’s about a two acres zone of our Wahiawā Land Property. Eventually we will have 16 different varieties of coconut and we look forward to the fruit bearing from this coconut grove, really important cultural return for us.
Interim Legacy Land Manager Walker: The next slide contains photos of the Eucalyptus Tree Removal we were able to execute earlier this year, and the next slide you can see the before and after. This was phase one of two phases; we will be removing the remaining 10 trees on the right. The reason for this effort is to protect the stones directly beneath it, eucalyptus in general, the leaves and the branches at the top tend to fall and land on the stones. Because of the archaeological and cultural significance of this site, we want to protect it, so we’re removing the trees. We will complete that at the end of FY22.
Interim Legacy Land Manager Walker: The final project that we are currently working on, the Hawaiian Civic Club of Wahiawā has initiated an extension of the native tree planting that we did with OHA two years ago. They will be planting 1,000 Koa Trees at the entrance that we currently use to add an additional buffering around the stones to create more protection of the stones and to also help add to the reforesting effort of the property. The planting date is still to be determined.

Interim Legacy Land Manager Walker: The next steps are to: finalize our Master Site Plan with the UHCDC, complete the Conceptual Design for the CMP Facilities, and continue that EA process. All of those are happening concurrently.

When we do complete the Master Site Plan on the Master Plan itself, we will re-present it to the Board of Trustees and request approval to move forward with the design, development, and construction fees for implementing the outcomes of the original CMP.
Interim Legacy Land Manager Walker:  That’s where we are at. If there are any questions, we’re happy to answer them.

Chair Waihe‘e:  Are there any other questions members?

Trustee Ahu Isa:  You’re spending $846,000 - FY20-21. All that money is for just the planning with the tree planting. When we went out there, years ago, I think that when we first hired Taylor. We were talking about education, like a shed so children could come and stand under the shade, because it’s so hot.

The other question is why are we getting rid of the eucalyptus trees, they keep the mosquitoes away, the roots aren’t going to bother the stones.  You’re saying the leaves are bothering the stones?  Who authorized all this tree removal, you got a consultant?

Land Director Fronda:  Mahalo Trustee Ahu Isa, I will also ask Lori to chime in on this. Much of the work that has been done, there’s more planning work that needs to be accomplished. You won’t see some of this, you will see a lot of reports that are done. There’s also not only planning work but also consulting work that needs to be accomplished in order for us to be able to do any type of actual work on the property and any type of construction.

That’s one of the reasons why we engage with organizations like UHCDC, because they can give us some proof of concept. Taking a look at our Conceptual Master Plan and being able to implement some of them. In regard to trees - we look at eucalyptus, we look at native habitat, we’re going to take a look at what is native to our lands, what is also native to that particular area.  Lori would you like to chime in?

Interim Legacy Land Manager Walker:  Yeah, thank you. For the eucalyptus, it’s not just the leaves, but it’s also the branches. The liability to allow it to fall around the site itself but also if there are visitors to the site it definitely presents a liability and a safety concern. The leaves itself, when they do fall secrete an oil that does affect the soil and the growth of plants around it. If you go there, you can see that the plants closest to where those trees are growing and don’t really do very well because they’re impacted by the oil. We do look to our UH partnership with the tropical plant and soil sciences, to inform all of the vegetation actions that we do on the site, they are the experts.

It was also a Hawaiian Civic Club of Wahiawā request to remove the trees so that the stones are more protected. At the age these trees are at now, the branches at the top and the height that they are make the fall a lot more detrimental to the site below.
**Trustee Ahu Isa:** Koa is terrible, all the seeds go all over and gets into everybody’s yard and go crazy. You’re going to plant koa trees by the roadside, you said to protect - I was looking at a map where you’re going to put the koa trees. You’re going to put koa trees there, why because it’s indigenous, the thing is like rubbish. Sorry, just my opinion.

**Land Director Fronda:** *Lori, could you also share what was traditionally there?* We had ‘iliahi, koa - I believe in that area. Much of it is taking a look at how we can reforest the area and what the original habitat was there.

**Interim Legacy Land Manager Walker:** Yeah, so a major part of our Conceptual Master Plan was to restore the native forest that was previously there and creating a forest at a safe distance around the stones so that they are protected from visual impact and also creating a more culturally significant barrier to this sacred space.

**Trustee Keola Lindsey:** Thank you Kalani, Lori, Taylor, and everyone else for your hard work. When the time is right, I’d really like to know more about the agribusiness component of the reforestation efforts there. Not only in terms of what needs to happen in the soil, but also in terms of how much land is needed to make a sustainable native forest business.

Here on Hawai’i Island, Parker Ranch and Kamuela Hardwoods recently formed a partnership for sustainable hardwoods, native trees. Maybe there’s other efforts going on - on other islands as well, so it seems there’s alignment there. As you folks are working on all of these things, at some point in the future the agribusiness part, I look forward to hearing a little bit more on that. One random question, the coconuts are planted in groups of threes - *is there a specific reason for that that can be shared or was that?* If no can, that’s alright, just curious, thank you.

**Interim Legacy Land Manager Walker:** Yeah, actually so Indrajit is from Southern Sri Lanka, he in partnership with Aunty Manu Meyer they make up NiUNOW. He belongs to unbroken knowledge of cultivating and taking care of coconut groves. He’s also been doing research around Polynesian practices for planting, and this is one practice that they’ve seen really impactful for the species, to propagate within its own species. What they’re calling it is a polymotu, the three trees are all the same species within the set of three and they’ll propagate within each other, so there’s not a cross pollination within the adjacent polymotu around it.

**Trustee Keola Lindsey:** Thank you.

**Trustee Hulu Lindsey:** I want to thank Lori, Kalani and Taylor for this good presentation. I was so excited to see the pictures showing the trees that we planted a few years ago, they’ve really grown a lot and I was going to ask how they were doing, so it was god to see those pictures. I was curious about the coconuts too, thank you for that answer. I want to know - *what is the status of the water system between the State and OHA?*
**Land Director Fronda:** I want to thank both Trustee Keola Lindsey and Chair Hulu Lindsey for bringing that up. That is one of the key components and ingredients for us to look at sustainable agriculture as well as the agribusiness side of it. We’ve made a couple of attempts to be able to put together a proposal requesting for CIP funds, and we actually went pretty far into the last session when we brought that before the legislature.

Unfortunately, it didn’t pass and move forward so we are looking at other means of being able to leverage the necessary funding that we need to be able to incorporate that. Exciting stuff to happen, we’ve been in discussion with ADC in regard to, where that water will come from. It’s just a matter of now us putting together a plan, whereby we can actually show forth how we can let them be able to seek the necessary funding sources to be able to construct and develop the water system.

**Trustee Hulu Lindsey:** Thank you, Kalani.

**Chair Waihe‘e:** Are there any other questions or comments?

There are no further questions nor comments.

**Chair Waihe‘e:** Thank you very much, Kalani, Lori, and Taylor.

V. NEW BUSINESS


**Chair Waihe‘e** turns it over to Ka Pouhana Sylvia Hussey.

**Pouhana Hussey:** Thank you Chair Waihe‘e. Good afternoon, Trustees. Mahalo again to our IT who came to the rescue and revived a dead camera. Trustees, what you have is the actual Action Item.

The previous report was given in a workshop which we didn’t quite get to, but the Action Item is before us, as requested by Trustee Waihe‘e. Just for overview and background to set context for where we are; the Board in 2018, I believe commissioned under the Resource Management and it wasn’t an audit, it was an engagement that looked at specifically contracts and disbursements. 80 contracts and 50 disbursements for OHA, as well as 30 contracts and 25 disbursements for the LLC’s. CLA was engaged to do that work, and that work progressed and was reported to the Resource Management Committee, under then Trustee Ahuna.

It was brought to the Resource Management Committee in December of 2019 and then the next day it came to the Board in December of 2019. At that time, Administration was asked - one of the recommendations in the CLA Report was for Administration to come back with an implementation plan. They had 73 recommendations and how were these recommendations going to be implemented.
Pouhana Hussey: Administration came back to the Resource Management Committee in January of 2020 with the implementation recommendations for the 73 items. That report also was introduced and covered the 38 items that were flagged in that testing as possible red flags, so we pulled out that section and did some further follow up work with that.

January 2020 was the last reporting period, and in January we had every intention of finishing the implementation by June of 2020. Then as we all have experienced, COVID in March and all the State Orders in March of 2020. The entire organization went into telework and, as we know, we’ve been in that, and we are now preparing to emerge from that situation.

So, this is really a frozen in time implementation plan that now, in November, almost two years later, we are refreshing for the Trustees as to what progress has been made and what subsequent actions are still outstanding.

Now, remember, at the same time, the Board started the entire Board Governance work, in early January of 2019 as well, which was a significant recommendation action, part of the CLA engagement as well. So, the Board’s work has been very intentional and paralleled in the recommendations. As we know, the Board Governance work is unveiling different aspects of the triangle and being implemented.

Then we are here, we are refreshing that work and then the Board also formed the Ad Hoc Committee, the legislature appropriated dollars specifically to follow up the replacement of the CLA engagement report, replace the audit and that condition. So, the release of our general funds was accomplished, because of that switch out.

In addition to that, $200,000 was specifically identified to do a follow-up, and so the Board’s Ad Hoc Committee did their work, made the recommendations and as we’ve reported - that RFP has gone out and the procurement process is in the process, the vendor evaluation is going on.

Pouhana Hussey: When we get those results, we will of course let the Trustees know, but remember based on the Ad Hoc Committees recommendation that scope of work is for the 38 items that have been identified by CLA in the original report.

For your reference if you just go to tabs or appendix C and D, those are the 38 items, that’s the scope of that engagement. That engagement is very different, because that engagement as recommended by the Ad Hoc Committee is a forensic accounting engagement. Forensic accounting engagements have very specific standards by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, and so the requirement was whoever responded needed to conduct the engagement of those 38 items in accordance with those standards.

We’re going through that process now, and again when that is made, we will inform the Trustees. So, this November refresh of the work is the final report, it’s no longer draft and then we’re also saying that here’s the reporting mechanism, and that is in appendix E. Appendix E will be the tracker of all those that are still outstanding, and we will come back to report to the Trustees.
Pouhana Hussey: One thing you may be wondering, it’s highly operational - why are you coming to the Trustees for approval? The reason I specifically want the Trustees to approve Administration’s Implementation Plan is to be very clear, explicit, and transparent.

Trustees have received the report, they have reviewed Administration’s responses to those reports, including recommendations that were given to the Trustees and that you have acknowledged and support that activity; and any of the budgetary impacts are already in the budget. That was part of the budget presentation and the approval, so while it may seem highly operational, it is very intentional on my part that the Trustees approve an Action Item through the Resource Management Committee where the work originated and then all the way to the Board so that we connect all the dots, top to bottom.

 Practically yes, if you don’t approve it, or you don’t approve the Action Item, Administration will still do these things, because they’re operational. I think it’s very symbolic and a part of bringing things to closure to have this very specific Action, that’s why Administration has asked and brought it in the form of an Action Item. Any questions? The meaty appendices are A through E, the rest are supportive kinds of appendices.

Trustee Lee: Ka Pouhana, did I hear you correctly that should the Committee not pass this Action Item, that Administration will move forward with the action, because it is in Administration’s wheelhouse and that this is just a symbolic way of the Trustees supporting what Administration is moving forward with?

Pouhana Hussey: Yes, because it is operational, all of the budgetary aspects have been given to the Trustees in form of the budget.

Trustee Lee: Mr. Chair - if I may make a recommendation to the Chair of the Committee. In the future, if something like this is going to be brought to the Trustees in a Committee as a symbolic way and if the Trustees vote no - that Administration is going to move forward anyway because that’s their authority, that maybe bring it in the form of a Resolution versus an Action Item.

Because it’s very different for the Trustees to explicitly say no to an Action item, but yet Administration moves forward - is very different. If the Trustees say no to a Resolution and Administration moves forward, given that we’re seeking a Forensic Audit. I don’t see a Forensic Auditor being very thrilled with the governing body saying no to something Administration moves forward with it anyway. I’m not saying we’re going to vote no, I’m just saying procedurally, and the way it looks, maybe if we do something like this symbolically in the future it comes in a form of a Resolution versus and Action Item.

Chair Waihe‘e: That makes sense.

Pouhana Hussey: I appreciate that Trustee Lee. Of all the mechanisms to come to the Board, I’ve only seen a Resolution in honorary form, or the last time we did a beneficiary, so that’s helpful to understand the different mechanisms that are available.

Trustee Lee: Just a quick reminder Ka Pouhana, against my objections we just passed a Resolution to purchase $43 million worth of property. So, Resolutions have come before this body, and even if they had not come before this body, in the future, it by all means is a mechanism that we can do business.

Pouhana Hussey: Good to know, thank you.
Trustee Keola Lindsey: Sorry if I missed it in the folder. The question I have is - for those recommendations that are partially implemented, is the partial part that summarized in the folder going to be the extent of the work, or will there be more work to try to fully implement the recommendation?

Pouhana Hussey: So, all of the partially recommended should be on Appendix E to finish up. So, either we have implemented, not going to implement, because we have given our rationale for not implementing or it’s partially implemented. Anything partially implemented is in Appendix E to track to completion. The implementation of the Board, governance aspect that is for the Board to decide if they will take those recommendations forward. All of the operational recommendations for OHA are in Appendix E and that we will track to completion.

Trustee Keola Lindsey: Thank you, Ka Pouhana. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Chair Waihe‘e: Thank you, Trustee Lindsey.
Trustee Hulu Lindsey moves to accept and implement the Recommendations Implementation Report for CliftonLarsonAllen OHA & LLCs Contract and Disbursement Review, November 2021, as attached.

Trustee Akaka seconds the motion.

Chair Waihe‘e asks if there is any discussion.

There is no discussion.

Chair Waihe‘e calls for a ROLL CALL VOTE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRUSTEE</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>‘AE (YES)</th>
<th>A’OLE (NO)</th>
<th>KANALUA (ABSTAIN)</th>
<th>EXCUSED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LEINA’ALA AHU ISA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAN AHUNA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 - Kanalua</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KALEIHIKINA AKAKA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KELI‘I AKINA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EXCUSED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VICE-CHAIR LUANA ALAPA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EXCUSED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRENDON KALEI’AINA LEE</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARMEN HULU LINDSEY 1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEOLA LINDSEY</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAIR JOHN WAIHE’E</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL VOTE COUNT</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VOTE: [ X ] UNANIMOUS [ ] PASSED [ ] DEFERRED [ ] FAILED

VI. ANNOUNCEMENTS

None
VII. ADJOURNMENT

Trustee Akaka moves to adjourn the RM meeting.

Trustee Hulu Lindsey seconds the motion.

Chair Waihe‘e asks if there is any discussion.

There is no discussion.

Chair Waihe‘e calls for a ROLL CALL VOTE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRUSTEE</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>'AE (YES)</th>
<th>A’OLE (NO)</th>
<th>KANALUA (ABSTAIN)</th>
<th>EXCUSED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LEINA’ALALUANA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A’OLE</td>
<td>KANALUA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAN</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KALEIHIKINAKALU</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KELI’IAKINA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VICE-CHAIR LUANA ALAPA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRENDON KALEI’AINA LEE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARMEN HULU LINDSEY</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEOLA LINDSEY</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAIR JOHN WAIHE’E</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL VOTE COUNT</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VOTE: [ X ] UNANIMOUS [ ] PASSED [ ] DEFERRED [ ] FAILED

Chair Waihe‘e adjourns the RM meeting at 2:24 p.m.
Respectfully submitted,

Melissa Wennihan
Trustee Aide
Committee on Resource Management

As approved by the Committee on Resource Management (RM) on January 25, 2022.

Trustee John Waihe’e, IV
Chair
Committee on Resource Management