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E HOʻOKANAKA.
BE A PERSON OF WORTH.

These were the very last words of Kamehameha, the unifier of the Hawaiian islands, upon his deathbed. To his beloved attendants, the King uttered the famous, “E ʻoni wale nō ʻoukou i kuʻu pono (ʻaʻole i pau).” With these words, he instructed his attendants, “Continue to do what I have done.” Then, turning to his grieving young son Liholiho, the dying King spoke these words, “E hoʻokanaka.” These words continue to be spoken today as an encouragement to be brave and courageous as well as to assert one’s Hawaiian identity.

E hoʻokanaka. Be a person of worth.
# The Native Hawaiian Justice Task Force Report
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We are blessed to call Hawai’i home. There is no more special place. Yet, the root culture, Native Hawaiians, in their own homeland, are overrepresented at every stage of the criminal justice system. Regardless of why, the reality cannot be denied. This state of affairs can only be considered a tragedy. To view it in any other way would be to insult and undervalue the most welcoming, giving people in the world.

Working on complex, emotional, and critically important matters is never easy. Certainly the work of the Task Force was not easy. If it was, the Task Force would not have done its job. I am confident the Task Force did its job, and I want to extend my gratitude to each Task Force member for giving so generously of their time and talents.

The Task Force took the issues and questions to the people, first and foremost to the Native Hawaiian people. As we traveled the State, from O‘ahu to Kaua‘i to Maui to Hilo to Kona to Moloka‘i and Lāna‘i (via video), Hawai‘i’s people taught us much about perseverance, about suffering, about courage and above all, about hope. It is the Task Force’s hope that all of Hawai‘i will take responsibility for reducing the number of Hawaiians in prison. As nine individuals, all the Task Force has done is lay the groundwork for real change to occur. This Report, although a good start, is just that.

King Kamehameha’s last dying words to his son were, “Be a person of worth.” In the context of this Report, the same admonition should be applied to all of us—each and everyone.

Michael Broderick
Chair
Native Hawaiian Justice Task Force
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Executive Summary

In 2010, the Office of Hawaiian Affairs, in collaboration with the University of Hawai‘i at Mānoa, Justice Policy Institute, and Georgetown University, produced a report titled The Disparate Treatment of Native Hawaiians in the Criminal Justice System (“2010 Report”). Researchers found that “Native Hawaiians are overrepresented in every stage in the criminal justice system, and the disproportionality increases as Native Hawaiians go further into the system, also making it harder to leave and stay out of prison.” (“2010 Report, at 17”) The 2010 Report recommended the formation of a governing collaborative, which lead to the passage of Act 170 and the statutory creation of the Native Hawaiian Justice Task Force.

The disproportionate representation of Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system has been previously reported upon and presented to the Hawai‘i state Legislature. In addition to the findings of the 2010 Report, the Task Force acknowledges the studies “Crime and Justice Related to Hawaiians and Part Hawaiians in the State of Hawaii,” (“1981 Study”), and “Criminal Justice and Hawaiians in the 1990’s: Ethnic Differences in Imprisonment Rates in the State of Hawai‘i,” (“1994 Study”). The 1981 Study, the 1994 Study, and the 2010 Report independently concluded that Native Hawaiians are overrepresented in the criminal justice system. Those documents, and several others which discuss Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system, are now available online at: www.oha.org/nativehawaiianjusticetaskforce

As a group, the Task Force and the Office of Hawaiian Affairs, which is attached to the Task Force as its administrator through Act 170, have devoted a significant amount of time and effort in engaging in a dialogue with the community. Through a series of summits held throughout the state during the summer of 2012, the Task Force received testimony regarding the disproportionate representation of Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system directly from one hundred fifty nine individuals, and dozens of others through site visits at State correctional facilities and the receipt of written testimony and research.

Following the summits, site visits, and the receipt of testimony, the Task Force undertook a deliberate process to draft the Findings and Recommendations sections of the Report. The production of those sections was also influenced by the perspective of each Task Force member who brought forth from his or her role within the criminal justice system.

The headings of the Report are:

A. Data regarding Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system;
B. The disproportionate representation of Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system;
C. Early intervention programs for Native Hawaiians;
D. Impact of the State’s contracting with non-state facilities on Native Hawaiians;
E. Issues in State-operated correctional facilities and their impact on Native Hawaiians;
F. Restorative justice practices and their application to Native Hawaiians;
G. Lack of services for Native Hawaiians who come into contact with the criminal justice system;
H. Continuing state efforts to ameliorate the overrepresentation of Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system.

The Task Force produced forty eight findings and thirty eight recommendations. This executive summary provides a short list of key findings and recommendations that the Task Force made. Throughout the production of the Report, the Task Force attempted to use direct and concise language; eschewing ambiguous phrasing and legal rhetoric.

The Task Force urges readers to engage with the full text of the Report. As the wording of the findings and recommendations has been deliberately vetted, the Executive Summary cannot supplant the full text. As criminal causality is debated by esteemed professionals across the world, so too are the options to address criminality. In the Report, no finding or recommendation is more important than another. From the perspective of the present, it is impossible to deduce which specific recommendation, if enacted into law, may proximately remedy the disproportionate representation of Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system.
Key Findings

A. Data regarding Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system

- In order to inform future policy decisions regarding the disproportionate representation of Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system, the State of Hawai‘i needs to identify what data is to be collected at different points within the criminal justice system, improve data integration, and improve data infrastructure amongst state agencies.

- The disproportionate representation of Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system has been clearly and repeatedly established. Further study, including additional control variables, would provide a richer understanding of why Native Hawaiians remain disproportionately represented in the criminal justice system.

B. The disproportionate representation of Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system

- The general perception in the Native Hawaiian community is that the criminal justice system is broken. There has been ongoing, tremendous frustration in the Native Hawaiian community regarding the disproportionate representation of Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system.

- Proactive policy initiatives, including those promoting a rehabilitative model of incarceration, in the criminal justice system must be effectuated. It is possible, and even likely, that federal and/or state funding will continue to decrease in the near future. In that instance, Hawai‘i may continue to face a crisis.

- Implicit, unconscious bias and disparate treatment on the part of workers at all stages of the criminal justice system may explain a portion of the disproportionate representation of Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system.

- In the present economy, ex-offenders face barriers in securing employment, housing, and reintegration into the community based on their arrest and court record. These barriers may affect the recidivism rate for Native Hawaiians.

- The Hawai‘i Paroling Authority has more discretion than its counterparts in other states or the federal government regarding inmates’ length of stay, conditions of parole, and other conditions regarding incarceration. An inmate’s program enrollment is a factor considered by the Hawai‘i Paroling Authority. The expanded discretion of the Hawai‘i Paroling Authority may have been used to unequal effect due to the lack of programs for inmates.

C. Prevention and early intervention programs for Native Hawaiians

- The children of incarcerated parents are at risk of having a higher rate of interaction with the criminal justice system.

- Preventative measures, such as adequate education and programs for at-risk youth, continue to be inadequately funded.

D. Impact of the State’s contracting with non-state facilities on Native Hawaiians

- Prisoners, former prisoners, family members of prisoners, and Task Force members report that prisoners in non-state facilities receive more consistent and available programs and live in less crowded conditions than prisoners in state prisons. Prisoners in private correctional facilities report receiving more respect from staff. However, prisoners in private facilities are subject to drastic dislocation from their home, culture, family, job prospects, and community support.

- The criteria for sending and returning prisoners to and from non-state facilities remain unclear.

- In reaction to recent statements from the Governor, and the Justice Reinvestment Initiative of 2011, any planned return of prisoners to the community from non-state facilities should be accomplished in a planned and responsible manner, with public safety being the primary concern.

- Native Hawaiians who are sent to non-state facilities are effectively given an unequal burden in relation to non-Hawaiian prisoners. This burden includes a dislocation from his or her home, connection to the land, culture, family, job prospects, and community support.

- Due to their incarceration on the continental United States, many released offenders do not have effective transition plans regarding employment, housing, and reintegration into the community beyond compliance with parole.
E. Issues in State-operated correctional facilities and their impact on Native Hawaiians

- Inmates released from state correctional institutions often do not have any form of official identification. A form of identification is necessary to apply for employment, to find housing, and to comply with conditions of parole.

- If the state of Hawai‘i had sufficient and appropriate community-based alternatives to incarceration for substance abuse, mental health treatment, and housing at all points within the criminal justice system, the state may reduce its reliance on incarceration.

- Prisoners and former prisoners in state facilities may be receiving inconsistent treatment from staff. This inconsistent treatment by staff leads to inefficient effectuation of programs and policies.

F. Restorative justice practices and their application to Native Hawaiians

- Indigenous cultural practices present appropriate models in ameliorating the disproportionate impact of the criminal justice system on indigenous communities. The Native Hawaiian community and nations such as Aotearoa, Australia, and Canada have had recent successes in adopting indigenous cultural practices.

- Restorative justice practices, such as sentencing circles, mediation, and community justice, are an option for certain defendants.

G. Lack of services for Native Hawaiians who come into contact with the Criminal Justice System

- Culturally Based programs are effective, and should be expanded upon.

- Mental health services, such as psychopharmacological medication, counseling, and case management for those with mental health conditions are inadequate, and are an important component for the rehabilitation of Native Hawaiian prisoners (“pa‘ahao”).

- There is no comprehensive directory of culturally-based programs and service providers for Native Hawaiians who come into contact with the criminal justice system. The Office of Hawaiian Affairs is presently working on a directory of Native Hawaiian organizations and community-based service providers.

- Pretrial detainees have limited access to community-based programs. This lack of access leads to pretrial detainees remaining incarcerated.

H. Continuing State efforts to ameliorate the disproportionate Representation of Native Hawaiians in the Criminal Justice System.

- Effective change in the criminal justice system will require a sustained, continued cooperation amongst state agencies and private organizations, past the work of the Native Hawaiian Justice Task Force.
Key Recommendations

A. Data regarding Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system
   - State agencies that affect the criminal justice system are to collect and maintain data on all aspects protected by the state and federal constitutions. The state of Hawai‘i is to identify what data is to be collected at different points within the criminal justice system, improve data integration, and improve data infrastructure amongst state agencies.

B. The disproportionate representation of Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system
   - The portions of the Justice Reinvestment Initiative which were not passed into law as Senate Bill 2776, Act 139 (2011) and House Bill 2515, Act 140 (2011), are to be reintroduced. As Native Hawaiians are overrepresented in the criminal justice system, the Native Hawaiian community will be disproportionately affected by any inaction to reduce inmates or fix problems within the criminal justice system.

   - The state of Hawai‘i, including the executive and judicial branches, shall create and maintain an inventory of service providers, including, but not limited to, culturally based service providers that interact with inmates and former inmates. Such services may include services that address mental health, substance abuse, workforce development, and housing.

   - Training regarding implicit, unconscious bias is to be mandatory and ongoing for all employees who have contact with individuals in the criminal justice system. The judiciary has recently held such a training for judges.

   - In order for the Office of the Public Defender to deliver optimal services, the legislature must approve and fund more deputies, related support staff, and facilities.

   - All efforts should be made to conduct hearings before the Hawai‘i Paroling Authority with the inmate/defendant physically present.

   - The legislature should develop guidelines for the Hawai‘i Paroling Authority concerning the setting of the minimum term and factors to be considered for parole. One such consideration is access to programs.

   - By law, inmates should be given credit for “earned time/good credit” before the Hawai‘i Paroling Authority.

C. Prevention and early intervention programs for Native Hawaiians
   - To reduce intergenerational incarceration, resources must be directed toward children of incarcerated parents. The legislature should consider a mandatory educational program for guardians of minors with a parent in prison, similar to the “Kids First” program currently in place throughout the Judiciary.

D. Impact of the State’s contracting with non-state facilities on Native Hawaiians
   - The Department of Public Safety should ensure that prisoners who are housed in non-state facilities and who are eligible by classification for pre-release programs, such as work furlough, are returned to Hawai‘i with sufficient time to complete programs prior to their tentative parole date.

   - The Department of Public Safety should ensure that all allegations of abuse of inmates are independently investigated and that appropriate corrective action is taken.

   - The Department of Public Safety should ensure that inmates are allowed to follow their religious and Native Hawaiian cultural practices, and retain sacred cultural items that do not pose a danger to the security of the institution.

   - Consistent with community testimony and the Governor’s repeated statements, prisoners held out of state should be returned. The State should make the return of inmates a top priority, and inmates should be returned as soon as practicable, consistent with public safety.

   - Once the inmates are returned from private out-of-state facilities, the State should consider passing legislation prohibiting future use of private, for-profit, correctional facilities.
E. **Issues in state-operated correctional facilities and their impact on Native Hawaiians**

- The Department of Public Safety should ensure that prior to work furlough and/or release, all inmates obtain official state identification and if needed, a social security card.

- The staff at all state-operated correctional facilities shall receive annual, mandatory training regarding trauma informed care.

- Prisoners should be allowed consistent and regular visitation with immediate and extended family members. The Department of Public Safety should make every effort to ensure that adequate staffing is available for consistent and regular visitation.

F. **Restorative justice practices and their application to Native Hawaiians**

- The State should recognize and support community and grassroots efforts that promote indigenous cultural practice models demonstrated to be successful in Hawai’i or elsewhere.

G. **Lack of services for Native Hawaiians who come into contact with the criminal justice system**

- The state should ensure adequate funding and staffing to treat offenders with mental health conditions, including supporting psychopharmacological medication, counseling, and case management.

- The State should ensure adequate funding and staffing to create a comprehensive directory of culturally-based programs, indigenous models, and service providers for Native Hawaiians who come into contact with the criminal justice system.

- Neighbor island models such as Maui Economic Opportunity BEST, POHAKU, Kahua Ola Hou, and Wailuku Neighborhood Place should be supported, expanded upon, replicated, and/or reinstated.

H. **Continuing state efforts to ameliorate the disproportionate representation of Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system**

- There should be permanent funding and full-time staffing in the appropriate agency or independent body to oversee and implement recommendations of the Native Hawaiian Justice Task Force, and to continue to review this evolving issue.

- Future efforts to implement recommendations and review this evolving issue should include a wider breadth of community and agency representation than the current Native Hawaiian Justice Task Force.
Introduction

The Native Hawaiian Justice Task Force Report (“Report”) is the result of the collective work of many individuals, communities, and organizations. By law, the Native Hawaiian Justice Task Force (“Task Force”) was primarily comprised of individuals who represent what is generally referred to as the “criminal justice system.” Members of the Task Force include representatives from the bar, the judiciary, and governmental agencies directly involved in the prosecution, defense, sentencing, incarceration, and supervision of those charged with criminal offenses. In addition, two members were appointed by the Governor to represent the community. This Report has also been deeply influenced by individuals from various and diverse sectors of the community, whose contribution further legitimized the findings and recommendations found herein.

In 2010, the Office of Hawaiian Affairs; in collaboration with the University of Hawai‘i at Mānoa, Justice Policy Institute, and Georgetown University; produced a report titled The Disparate Treatment of Native Hawaiians in the Criminal Justice System (2010 Report). Researchers found that “Native Hawaiians are overrepresented in every stage of Hawai‘i’s criminal justice system, and the disproportionality increases as Native Hawaiians go further into the system, also making it harder to leave and stay out of prison” (2010 Report, at 17). Consequently, the 2010 Report recommended the formation of a governing collaborative, which led to the passage of SB986 HD3 CD1 RELATING TO THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM, signed into law as Act 170(11) on June 27, 2011. Act 170 statutorily created the Native Hawaiian Justice Task Force, which has authored the present Report.

This Report fulfills the duties assigned to the Task Force under Act 170, to “…formulate policies and procedures to eliminate the disproportionate representation of Native Hawaiians in Hawai‘i’s criminal justice system by looking for new strategies to reduce or avoid unnecessary involvement of these individuals with the criminal justice system,” and to “…recommend cost-effective mechanism, legislation, and policies to reduce or prevent individuals’ unnecessary involvement with the criminal justice system. The recommendations shall include estimates of cultural and fiscal impact.” (Act 170, pg. 2-3).

In response to this charge, the Task Force and the Office of Hawaiian Affairs, attached to the Task Force as its administrator, through Act 170, sought direct testimony from the public. In the summer of 2012, through a series of Pae ‘Āina Summits held on O‘ahu, Hawai‘i Island, Maui, Kaua‘i and Moloka‘i, and Lāna‘i (via video), the Task Force heard from one hundred and fifty nine members of the public. The Task Force also received numerous documents representing written testimony. Overall, members of the community directly testified to the statistical data presented in the 2010 Report, and discussed what those data mean to Native Hawaiian individuals, Native Hawaiian families, and non-Native Hawaiians as members of an interconnected community. During the Pae ‘Āina Summits, the Task Force asked those testifying to present his or her own recommendations. For many who testified, including members of the legislature, representatives of governmental and non-governmental entities, service providers, former prisoners (pa‘ahao), and families of incarcerated men and women, testifying was not an impersonal event or an academic exercise. Rather, testimony represented a collective of personal experiences, many painful, and narrative underpinned by social, political, and historical memory.

The diverse, yet consistent perspectives offered at the Pae ‘Āina Summits uniquely qualifies the present Report. The Task Force members made a concerted effort to listen to the Native Hawaiian community and the broader community, approaching each summit with an understanding of his or her position within the social structure of the criminal justice system, and how those respective positions make a qualitative difference in how the criminal justice system is perceived and experienced. Following the summits, the Task Force conducted site visits at Hālawa Correctional Facility and the Women’s Community Correctional Center on O‘ahu, and spoke directly with Native Hawaiian pa‘ahao regarding their prison experiences.

The Findings section of this Report reflects the dialogue between the Task Force, the public, and prisoners. Where possible, individuals have been cited within the document and “plain language” has been used in favor of ambiguous phrasing or legal rhetoric. The Task Force produced forty eight findings.

The Recommendations section of this Report suggests cost-effective mechanisms, legislation, and policies pursuant to Act 170, and developed from the Findings section. The Task Force produced thirty eight recommendations. The recommendations in this Report make clear that the State must continue to invest in ameliorating the disproportionate representation of Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system. The Task Force does not have the capacity to implement its recommendations. The findings and recommendations in this Report are presented as the beginning of an endeavor to address this evolving issue.

The Task Force is well aware that in order to solve the major problems elucidated in the Findings section of this Report, the state of Hawai‘i must commit to do so. Without such commitment, the work of this Task Force, and the community that as-
sisted in the development of this Report, will have been in vain. The Task Force has been emboldened in the development of its recommendations by the testimony of the community. In the words of one lawmaker who testified before the Task Force, “I think that we’ve had enough documents. We’ve had enough information that’s been disseminated. We’ve got enough talk... We stand ready to support you with what you have to offer. And please be bold[.]”

The recommendations set forth are supported by research and a collective of public testimony. They point to the fact that the goals of rehabilitation and healing are not incongruent with the necessities of public safety and the protection of victims or potential victims of crime.
Background

The 2010 report *The Disparate Treatment of Native Hawaiians in the Criminal Justice System*, produced by the Office of Hawaiian Affairs, in collaboration with the University of Hawai‘i at Mānoa, Justice Policy Institute and Georgetown University, (“2010 Report”) effectively outlines the current statistical data regarding the disparate representation of Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system. Portions of the 2010 Report regarding statistical data have been adopted by the Task Force.

The disproportionate impact of the criminal justice system on Native Hawaiians accumulates at each stage. Native Hawaiians are also more likely to receive a sentence of incarceration over probation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Native Hawaiians as a percent of total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Population (2008)</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrest (2008)</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretrial Detention (2009)</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admissions to Probation (2000-2009)</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admissions to Incarceration (2009)</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incarcerated (June 30, 2008)</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Releases on Parole (2009)</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parole Revocations (2005-2009)</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Note: Admissions to incarceration or probation are the result of sentencing. Admissions to probation do not include instances where a period of incarceration is a condition of probation.

The disproportionate representation of Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system has been previously reported upon and presented to the Hawai‘i state Legislature. The Task Force discussed and acknowledges the study “Crime and Justice Related to Hawaiians and Part Hawaiians in the State of Hawai‘i,” (“1981 Study”) commissioned by Alu Like, Inc., produced by Gene Kassebaum, Ph.D., for use by the State of Hawai‘i in 1981, and the study “Criminal Justice and Hawaiians in the 1990’s: Ethnic Differences in Imprisonment Rates in the State of Hawai‘i,” (“1994 Study”) commissioned by Alu Like, Inc. by Gene Kassebaum, Ph.D., for use by the State of Hawai‘i in 1994.

Procedural Background

United by the goal of fulfilling the duties elucidated in Act 170, the Task Force attempted to engage in a direct dialogue with the Native Hawaiian community, and the community at large. Firstly, the Task Force reviewed the document *The Disparate Treatment of Native Hawaiians in the Criminal Justice System* (2010 Report). At subsequent meetings, the Task Force chose a Chair, and worked with the staff of the Office of Hawaiian Affairs to coordinate the Pae ʻĀina Summits to be held on Oʻahu, Hawaiʻi, Maui, Molokaʻi, Lānaʻi (via video), and Kauaʻi.

The Task Force met on the following dates: 11/29/11, 02/07/12, 04/03/12, 05/01/12, 06/05/12, 07/10/12, 08/14/12, 09/04/12, 10/09/12, 10/22/12, 10/23/12, 11/04/12, and 12/12/12. Most Task Force meetings lasted two hours. The Task Force’s final two meetings lasted four hours and eight hours respectively. The agendas and meeting minutes have been provided online for all of the above dates at: www.oha.org/nativehawaiianjusticetaskforce

The Pae ʻĀina Summits occurred on the following dates:

- Honolulu, Oʻahu 
  - June 7 and 8, 2012
- Kailua-Kona, Hawaiʻi 
  - July 7, 2012
- Hilo, Hawaiʻi 
  - July 14, 2012
- Wailuku, Maui 
  - July 21, 2012
- Kaunakakai, Molokaʻi 
  - August 1, 2012
- And Lānaʻi (via video) 
  - August 1, 2012
- Lihuʻe, Kauaʻi 
  - August 3, 2012

The Task Force also conducted site visits with Native Hawaiian paʻahao at Hālawa Correctional Facility on August 29, 2012, the Women’s Community Correctional Center on August 30, 2012, and T.J. Mahoney and Associates, Ka Hale Hoʻāla Hou No Nā Wāhine on August 21, 2012.

Subsequent to the Pae ʻĀina Summits, the Advocacy and Research divisions of the Office of Hawaiian Affairs produced a research document for use by the Task Force. The research document organized the testimony and documents presented to the Task Force using an appropriate methodology, and analyzed the information using a standardized process and coding scheme. That document is available online at: www.oha.org/nativehawaiianjusticetaskforce

Testimony presented at the summits was recorded by the staff of the Office of Hawaiian Affairs. One hundred forty nine testimonials were transcribed, and are presented online at: www.oha.org/nativehawaiianjusticetaskforce

In order to accommodate differing perspectives within the Task Force, a deliberate process was undertaken to draft the Findings and Recommendations sections of the Report. The production of those sections was influenced by the perspectives that each Task Force member brought forth from his or her role within the criminal justice system. Criminal causality and the appropriate models to address criminality are debated by esteemed professionals across the world. Stakeholders from differing fields tend to discuss criminality in specific ways and use alternative models from which to approach similar issues. In this way, discussions at Task Force meetings reflected these differing approaches and perspectives. At various points in the process of creating the present Report, Task Force members engaged in robust, and at times, heated discussions regarding appropriate findings and recommendations.

After review of the testimony, site visits, and in consultation with respective offices, individual Task Force members presented his or her own specific findings for review before the Task Force. These findings were discussed and presented through the contracted writer. Each finding was then grouped into headings organized from the major subjects the Task Force gleaned from testimony.
The headings of this Report are:

A. Data regarding Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system;
B. The disproportionate representation of Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system;
C. Early intervention programs for Native Hawaiians;
D. Impact of the state’s contracting with non-state facilities on Native Hawaiians;
E. Issues in state-operated correctional facilities and their impact on Native Hawaiians;
F. Restorative justice practices and their application to Native Hawaiians;
G. Lack of services for Native Hawaiians who come into contact with the criminal justice system;
H. Continuing state efforts to ameliorate the over representation of Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system.

With the collective prospective findings recorded, the Task Force then convened, and proceeded line by line through each prospective finding and recommendation. The Task Force discussed the possible impact, appropriate placement, and wording of each point. Most, but not all findings and recommendations were unanimously approved. Where there was not unanimity, a vote was taken. Those votes are reflected in the footnotes of the Report along with the comments of the dissenting or abstaining party. The process for drafting the Recommendations section followed the format of the Findings section. Though not all findings and recommendations are unanimous, none are mutually exclusive.
Findings

A. Data regarding Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system


1. The Task Force adopts the statistical data regarding the disproportionate representation of Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system as discussed in the 2010 Report *The Disparate Treatment of Native Hawaiians in the Criminal Justice System*, pgs. 27-42. The Task Force acknowledges that there have been previous studies regarding the disproportionate representation of Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system, and that these previous studies have found similar statistical information.1

2. The state of Hawaiʻi needs to identify what data is to be collected at different points within the criminal justice system, improve data integration, and improve data infrastructure amongst state agencies. Data collection will better inform future policy decisions regarding the disproportionate number of Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system.2

3. While the disproportionate representation of Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system has been clearly and repeatedly established, further study, however, including additional control variables, would provide a richer understanding of why Native Hawaiians remain disproportionately represented in the criminal justice system.

B. The disproportionate representation of Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system

2. The general perception in the Native Hawaiian community is that the criminal justice system is broken. There has been ongoing, tremendous frustration in the Native Hawaiian community regarding the disproportionate representation of Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system.3

3. Any conversation regarding Native Hawaiians within the criminal justice system must be cognizant of political and historical context.4

---

1. Vote taken. Two votes against the Finding: Department of the Prosecuting Attorney, City and County of Honolulu, “Decline to ‘adopt’ all or part of any prior reports.” Department of the Attorney General, “The Department only acknowledges the 2010 Report’s most basic measurements showing the statistical overrepresentation of Native Hawaiians in the justice system, but is unable to ‘adopt’ a report that lacks methodological soundness and analytical objectivity. Despite citing only a specific range of pages, the Department also feels that this Finding may mislead readers toward an erroneous impression that the Task Force broadly endorses the 2010 Report.”


The 1981 Study, the 1994 Study, and the 2010 Report independently concluded that Native Hawaiians are over represented in the criminal justice system. The reports can be found online at: www.oha.org/nativehawaiianjusticetaskforce

The Office of Hawaiian Affairs has provided further studies regarding Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system online at: www.oha.org/nativehawaiianjusticetaskforce

2. Vote taken. One vote against the finding: Department of the Attorney General, “Prior to crafting any sort of costly ‘data infrastructure’ or ‘data integration’ schemes, a single, statistically rigorous and analytically objective study should be conducted in order to identify factors that substantially explain the variance in Native Hawaiian overrepresentation in the justice system. The results of that effort would also provide excellent information on specific data-related needs for future efforts.”

3. Vote taken. Two votes against the Finding: Department of the Prosecuting Attorney, City and County of Honolulu, “The phrase ‘general perception’ in the first sentence appears unfounded, though that is clearly the opinion of some Native Hawaiians. Department of the Attorney General, “While summit testifiers offered criticism and suggestions targeting a variety of specific justice functions, policies, and procedures, it does not seem accurate to portray this feedback as a wholesale indictment of the justice system.”

4. Numerous individuals testified regarding political and historical context.

Task Force member RaeDeen Keahiolalo-Karasuda, Ph.D. has written extensively regarding the historical constructs which have lead to the present dis-
4. Native Hawaiians have suffered from severe intergenerational, historical, and political trauma from the loss of land, language, and culture. This collective trauma has negative economic, health, cultural, and educational impacts on individuals, and often manifests itself in criminal activity. Any effort to reduce the number of Native Hawaiians who come in contact with the criminal justice system must include a multi-pronged approach to addressing this trauma.5

5. There is a strong belief in the Native Hawaiian community that historically, the criminal justice system has been used as a political tool to subjugate Native Hawaiians.6

6. The effects of the Justice Reinvestment Initiative, passed into law as Senate Bill 2776, Act 139 (2011) and House Bill 2515, Act 140 (2011), and the puʻuhonua culturally-based substance abuse treatment and intervention program, passed proportionate representation of Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system. “Scholarly studies about colonialism in Hawaiʻi demonstrate the methods by which power and dominance operate (Kaunui 2002; Osoiro 2002; Liliuokalani 1990; Task 1999; Silva 2004; Kameʻeleehiwa 1992). Yet scholars and others have overlooked the role of the prison industrial complex in the illegal overthrow of the Hawaiian kingdom. Likewise, current attention to the study of drugs and crime generally concentrates on individuals or group determinants rather than institutional violence. This is misleading, [as] the colonization of Hawaiʻi is directly traceable to the 19th century war on Opium, and current practices of punishment. State and popularized efforts to eradicate “social problems” related to drugs in Hawaiʻi tend to target individual or group problems and rest on the proposition that Hawaiians are prone to addiction and crime. (Hishinuma 2005).” RaeDeen Keahiolalo-Karasuda, Cerrceral Landscape in Hawaiʻi: The Politics of Empire, the Commodification of Bodies, and a Way Home, at 123-124, Abolition Now!, CRIO Publications Collective, Oakland AK Press (2008).

“So much of the problem, of what you guys are talking about, is rooted in the psychological disenfranchisement resulting from our history.” Joe Farias, Testimony before the N. Haw. Justice Task Force, Hilo Summit (2012).


Lorraine Robinson, Director of TJ Mahoney and Associates, Ka Hale Hoʻʻala Hou No Nā Wāhine, which is the “home of reawakening for women,” offered a scientific analysis and optimism for trauma intervention and the work of service providers.

“There is a phenomenon called epigenesis, which is showing that not only does intergenerational trauma get passed on socially, but it actually gets passed on genetically. The good news about that is that brains have a capacity called neuro-plasticity, meaning that they can be rewired. And all of the good work that all the people in this room are doing are actually rewiring the brains of people who have patterns and habits that have been self-destructive or destructive towards others. And now the new brain research is validating this stuff that we, as practitioners, have been seeing and living. [T]he confluence of the research on trauma, on neurobiology, and on attachment theory, is really substantiating again the importance of how we connect with people, of how culture fits into that, of how in the case of my work, we are responsive to the fact that women are not men and that they have different needs. They have different pathways into the criminal justice system. So I do think this is a kakou thing.” Lorraine Robinson (Director of TJ Mahoney and Associates, Ka Hale Hoʻʻala Hou No Nā Wāhine; “home of reawakening for women”) Testimony before the N. Haw. Justice Task Force, Oʻahu Summit (2012).

Regarding the ways that trauma begets trauma through successive generations in terrifying and predictable ways, Earl Kawaʻa offered a rebuttal to the argument that violence is inherent in Hawaiian conceptions of love or justice. “We need to go deep. “[W]hen I talk about deep, deeper in knowledge and ‘ike and understanding and in the heart. [A previous tester] said [violence] was ‘traditional Hawaiian,’ but I challenge him now because that’s not traditional.

And here’s the mana’o today: when you hit someone, when you bang someone, we say it’s okay. Someone will say it is Samoan love. A Hawaiian will say that is Hawaiian love. That’s bullshit. It was never Hawaiian love and never will be. And we should get rid of it. Never, ever allow that to happen. Here’s why. Here’s the cultural baseline for that statement. When you hit someone, and where do we slap when we slap someone? We slap someone on the head. We slap someone, we pa’i on the head. Pa’i ka po’o. And we say that’s the Hawaiian way. And I say, ‘Fuck that bullshit, gang.’ That shouldn’t happen. And why it shouldn’t it happen? Because the ‘aumakua sits here on the head. Someone say, ‘Why don’t you pa’i the butt?’ When the ‘aumakua sits on the head, the ‘aumakua is the entire body. So any place you hit someone is sinful—every place. That’s what we need to know.” Earl Kawaʻa (Kupuna and cultural practitioner) Testimony before the N. Haw. Justice Task Force, Oʻahu Summit (2012).

6. Vote taken. Two votes against the Finding: Department of the Prosecuting Attorney, City and County of Honolulu,”The specific bases for this generalization are unclear.” Department of the Attorney General,”[T]he Department believes that this Finding does not accurately portray the overall sentiments expressed at the summits.”

Kaniloa Kamaunu (Former Corrections Officer, State of Hawai‘i), testimony before the N. Haw. Justice Task Force, Maui Summit (2012)

Malina Kaulukukui offered a reason that much of the testimony received by the Task Force took the form of narrative. “[W]e provide a gender specific, culturally informed, residential treatment program or substance abuse treatment program for pregnant and parenting women.

Why am I telling you these stories? Because naming and language are the two critical pieces of anyone’s culture and these are the two significant factors significant factors that were diluted in the attempt to assimilate Hawaiians to a more Western paradigm.” Malina Kaulukukui (Cultural Integration Coordinator for the Salvation Army Family Treatment Services), testimony before the N. Haw. Justice Task Force, Oʻahu Summit (2012).

“How do you dignify, how do you justify the existence of a system that has stolen? You have the Apology Bill; you have the state legislature, who had admitted to the fact that the takeover was not justified. Yet there is no satisfactory resolution; there is no satisfactory answer. And so you are catching people in this grand hypocrisy that somehow they have violated the law, when the real question is: who are the real violators?” Pokā Laenui aka Hayden Burgess, Esq., testimony before the N. Haw. Justice Task Force, Oʻahu Summit (2012)
7. Proactive policy initiatives, including those promoting a rehabilitative model of incarceration, in the criminal justice system must be effectuated. It is possible, and even likely, that federal and/or state funding will continue to decrease in the near future. In that instance, Hawaiʻi may continue to face a crisis.8

8. Without proactive policy and oversight, there is no indication that the disproportionate representation of Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system will abate.9

9. Implicit, unconscious bias and disparate treatment on the part of workers at all stages of the criminal justice system may explain a portion of the disproportionate representation of Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system.10

10. There are clear connections between poverty, access to counsel in all courts, and criminality. The Task Force acknowledges that though it has been presented with adherence to American common law, there has been a disproportionate

7. In 2011, in order to reduce dependence on out-of-state prisons and improve the functions of the criminal justice system, the State of Hawaiʻi sought assistance from the Bureau of Justice Assistance, a division of the U.S. Department of Justice, and the Pew Center on the States. The state leaders agreed to establish a bipartisan, inter-branch Justice Reinvestment Working Group comprising leading state and local officials which would receive intensive technical assistance from the Council of State Governments Justice Center, in partnership with the Pew Center on the States. The Justice Reinvestment Bill was signed into law by Governor Neil Abercrombie on June 27, 2012. Several of the Justice Reinvestment Initiative’s recommendations were not passed into law. Please see the bill in its original form. For an overview of the Justice Reinvestment Working Group’s recommendations, see http://justicereinvest.org/states/hawaii

Puʻuhonua was introduced to the Hawaiʻi State legislature as House Bill 2848 (2011), and passed into law as Act 117, Session Laws of Hawaiʻi (2012).

8. Vote taken. Two votes to abstain from the Finding: Department of the Prosecuting Attorney, City and County of Honolulu, “It is unclear what ‘crisis’ is being referenced in the last sentence.” Department of the Attorney General, “It is unclear what ‘crisis’ is being referenced, or how the crisis relates to a ‘rehabilitative model of incarceration.’ In addition, this Finding does not seem directly or clearly related to reducing the overrepresentation of Native Hawaiians in the justice system relative to other ethnic groups.”

“We have over felonized. Things in Hawaiʻi that are felonies are misdemeanors or petty misdemeanors in other states. They call them wobblers. Jack Tonaki (speaking to Task Force member) knows that. A burglary second in California, a UEMV, unauthorized entry into a motor vehicle can be treated with prosecutorial discretion. I have great respect for our prosecutors... By over felonizing, you trigger repeat offender sentencing which leads to overly incarcerating people in prison. Prison is for felonies, not misdemeanors.” Hon. Michael Town (Cir. and Fam. Ct. Judge (ret.), Board Member, Hawaiʻi Paroling Authority) testimony before the N. Haw. Justice Task Force, Oʻahu Summit (2012).

Toni Bissen, J.D., Director of the Pu‘a Foundation, brought a cohort of service providers to testify before the Task Force. “We’re here to talk about the concept of systemic change. Looking at trying to eliminate the disparate treatment of Native Hawaiians [by] taking a very broad perspective, what we call ‘from twinkle to wrinkle.’ It’s an approach that affects all of us. I think that some of the comments that have been shared today show the need for this continuum of services.

[T]o affect systemic change is to form public-private, individual, and family partnerships for community healing and well-being; using a mind, body, spirit, place, perspective and incorporating trauma informed systems of care approach(es) and a frameworks work towards community healing and well-being.” Toni Bissen, J.D. (Director, Pu‘a Foundation), testimony before the N. Haw. Justice Task Force, O‘ahu Summit (2012)

9. Refer to Supra note 1 for a link to statistical information regarding the persistence of Native Hawaiian disproportionate representation in the criminal justice system. Senator Brickwood Galuteria testified to his readiness for substantive action. “I have absolutely nothing more to offer you, except my encouragement... to move towards a document that we will receive in the next legislative session, as indicated in the law that trips the switch for action. I think that we’ve had enough documents. We’ve had enough information that’s been disseminated. We’ve got enough talk. I think that the legislature is poised to receive something that we can advance into policy, which is where we sit, and into funding, where we sit as well.

So thank you for convening [the] 2012 Native Hawaiian Justice Task Force. We stand ready to support you with what you have to offer. And please be bold in what you do because we’re gonna need boldness, courage, and we’re gonna need also an extension, not only into the prison system, but into our communities.” Brickwood Galuteria (Hawaiʻi State Senator, Dist. 12) testimony before the N. Haw. Justice Task Force, O‘ahu Summit (2012)

10. Vote taken. Two votes against the Finding: Department of the Prosecuting Attorney, City and County of Honolulu, “The specific bases for this statement are unclear.” Department of the Attorney General, “We are concerned about the use of a “may” statement to the exclusion of considering other possibilities as to the reason for disparate representation of Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system. For example, national research demonstrates that the nature and extent of an arrestee’s criminal history; the specific offense(s) resulting in the current arrest; and whether or not an arrestee was already on parole or probation at the time of his/her current arrest are factors that on average may vary by ethnicity, and are certain to have dramatic impact on case processing/handling and outcomes (e.g., the likelihood of being sentenced to incarceration). These likelihoods were not examined in prior local research, and may play a major explanatory role in this issue.”

See Implicit Racial Bias Across the Law. Justin D. Levinson & Robert J. Smith, editors, Cambridge University Press (2012). This finding is further bolstered by the decades-long work of legal scholars and faculty at the William S. Richardson School of Law. The Judiciary has recently held a series of trainings for judges regarding implicit bias.
11. Many members of the public testified that the Office of the Public Defender is inadequately funded.12

12. In the present economy, ex-offenders face barriers in securing employment, housing, and reintegration into the community based on their arrest and court record. These barriers may affect the recidivism rate for Native Hawaiians.13

13. Historically, there has been a conflict between American law and Native Hawaiian values. This conflict may explain some of the disproportionate representation of Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system.14

14. The Hawai‘i Paroling Authority has more discretion than its counterparts in other states or the federal government regarding inmates’ length of stay, conditions of parole, and other conditions regarding incarceration. An inmate’s

11. Vote taken. Two votes to abstain from the Finding: Department of the Prosecuting Attorney, City and County of Honolulu, “The strength of connection between poverty and criminality is unclear, as many Native Hawaiians and non-Native Hawaiians living in poverty do not resort to criminality.” Department of the Attorney General, “[I]t is unclear how the Finding was derived and whether there is information to validate the cause and effect described.”

The funding of indigent legal service providers has fallen significantly in recent years. The most recent study of indigent legal services, conducted by the Access to Justice Hui in 2007, indicated that 1 in 5 low and moderate-income Hawai‘i residents have their legal needs met, and legal service providers are able to help only 1 in 3 of those who contact them for assistance. In 2008, the Supreme Court formally adopted Rule 21 of the Rules of the Supreme Court of the State of Hawai‘i, which established the Access to Justice Commission. See www.hawaiijustice.org/hawaii-access-to-justice-commission

12. Lucy Feinberg (Maui Director, Parents and Children Together (PACT)) testimony before the N. Haw. Justice Task Force, Maui Summit (2012).

Shari Lynn (Director, Ka Hale Pomaika‘i), testimony before the N. Haw. Justice Task Force, Moloka‘i and Lāna‘i Summit (2012).

Discussion with Native Hawaiian pa‘ahao at Halawa Correctional Facility, August 29, 2012.

13 Vote taken. Two votes against the Finding: Department of the Prosecuting Attorney, City and County of Honolulu, “It is unclear how Native Hawaiians are affected by these factors differently than other ethnicities.” Department of the Attorney General, “This Finding relates to all former felony offenders across the country. Therefore, how former criminal offenders of Native Hawaiian descent might be disproportionately impacted by this reality relative to ex-offenders from other ethnic groups is unclear.”

Despite employment discrimination being illegal pursuant to Hawai‘i Revised Statutes Chapter 378, many who testified before the Task Force discussed prevalent discrimination in hiring practices. See, Hawai‘i Civil Rights Commission at: http://hawaii.gov/labor/hcrc.

Discussion with Native Hawaiian pa‘ahao at Halawa Correctional Facility, August 29, 2012.

“[O]ur clients really have a loss of identity, and I think we’ve all heard today just how powerful the connection to culture is in re-establishing that identity. Ongoing identity creation really develops through how they’re going to continue on their care with us into their everyday work life and career. And that’s my job is to bring the two worlds together—to embrace the Hawaiian culture and the values that they learned and create a long-term career plan. These things go together.

Because what has been shown statistically, the biggest contributor to not re-offending and against recidivism is long-term employment, not just job acquisition, but job retention. So there is a challenge in the Native Hawaiian culture of career planning with them because a lot of them come from a place that’s very consistent that they’ve never had a role model, they’ve never had a sense of worth and achievement prior to, which is where a lot of the drugs and crime have come from.” John Unari (Workforce Development Specialist, Ho‘omau Ke Ola), testimony before the N. Haw. Justice Task Force, O‘ahu Summit (2012)

Six states provide state income tax credits to employers who hire individuals with criminal records: California, Illinois, Iowa, Louisiana, Maryland, and Texas. See, CAL. REV. & TAX CODE § 17053.34; LA. REV. STAT. ANN. § 47:287.752; MD. CODE ANN., LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT § 11-702 (2002); TEX. TAX CODE ANN., § 171.654; IOWA CODE § 422.35 (2003).

14 The discussion of a conflict between American law and Native Hawaiian values was a constant refrain throughout the testimony. Pastor Greg DeLa Cruz of Maui analogized the experience of Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system with a parable. “The original ...brothers Grimm version of Cinderella; it’s bloodier than the Disney version. In the old version, when one of the daughters’ feet won’t fit into Cinderella’s glass slipper, the mother cuts off the toes of her eldest daughter to make it fit. So this is what the western system has done to Hawaiians; cut off a toe to make the foot fit into a shoe that’s not made for it.” Greg DeLa Cruz (Family Success Coach, Neighborhood Place Wailuku; Pastor, Living Way Church), testimony before the N. Haw. Justice Task Force, Maui Summit (2012).

Senator Clayton Hee offered a remembrance of the first Native Hawaiian Chief Justice of the State of Hawai‘i, and his inclusion of Native Hawaiian values into his jurisprudence and leadership. “Many of you knew Chief Justice Richardson and had the privilege to sit and hear him [remember], as a young child going to Waikiki and looking over the hedge at how the rich people were having a party at the Royal Hawaiian hotel and how some burley Hawaiian came to the hedge and said ‘get out of here, this is not for you,’ and how that imprint of not being worthy never left him.

And who could know that history would be such, that as Chief Justice, the decisions [to extend] the public land to the high water mark [were] decisions born out of discrimination, and who he was. That’s what we need, leadership. But we also need a firm belief in who we are. And we need to know that the kupuna; and I don’t mean this flippantly as might be mentioned on the Senate floor from time to time; have our back.” Clayton Hee (Senator, 23rd District, State of Hawai‘i), Testimony before the N. Haw. Justice Task Force, O‘ahu Summit (2012).
program enrollment is a factor considered by the Hawai‘i Paroling Authority. The expanded discretion of the Hawai‘i Paroling Authority may have been used to unequal effect due to the lack of programs for inmates.15

C. Prevention and early intervention programs for Native Hawaiians

1. This Task Force is aware that an individual’s contact with the criminal justice system, regardless of race, often begins at youth. This Report has emphasized the impact of the criminal justice system on Native Hawaiian adults in order to comply with what the Task Force understood to be the focus of Act 170. The study Disproportionate Minority Contact in the Hawai‘i Juvenile Justice System, prepared for the Juvenile Justice State Advisory Council and the State of Hawai‘i, Office of Youth Services (May, 2012) provides guidance regarding effective prospective policy directives regarding juveniles.

2. Research shows that the children of incarcerated parents are at risk of having a higher rate of interaction with the justice system.16

3. Preventative measures, such as adequate education and programs for at-risk youth, continue to be inadequately funded.17

D. Impact of the state’s contracting with non-state facilities on Native Hawaiians

1. Prisoners, former prisoners, family members of prisoners, and Task Force members report that prisoners in non-state facilities receive more consistent and available programs and live in less crowded conditions than prisoners in state prisons. Prisoners in private correctional facilities report receiving more respect from staff. However, prisoners in private facilities are subject to drastic dislocation from their home, culture, family, job prospects, and community support.18

15 Vote taken. Two votes against the finding: Department of the Prosecuting Attorney, City and County of Honolulu. Department of the Attorney General. “We are again concerned about the leading use of a ‘may’ statement. There is no footnote identifying the source(s) of information indicating that Native Hawaiian detainees are kept longer as a direct result of HPA discretion and the suggested unequal effect in releasing parolees. This Finding does not seem directly or clearly related to reducing the overrepresentation of Native Hawaiians in the justice system relative to other ethnic groups.”

Discussion with Native Hawaiian pa‘ahao at Hālawa Correctional Facility, August 29, 2012.

Discussion with Native Hawaiian pa‘ahao at Women’s Community Correctional Center, August 30, 2012.

16 Refer to Finding C.1. Discussion with Native Hawaiian pa‘ahao at Women’s Community Correctional Center, August 30, 2012.


17 Refer to Finding C.1. The Task Force is well aware that criminal prevention requires addressing the issues facing “at risk” youth. The Task Force heard numerous persuasive narratives regarding this necessity.

“I currently live in Big Island now, and I’m 20 years old. I am a UH Hilo student and a full time maile farmer. Both my parents were in and out of prison when I was younger. I grew up with those struggles. I am the oldest of three kids. I have two younger brothers and my mom actually had my youngest one when she was in prison. And she ended up in Woman’s Way cause she saw how hard everyone was having trouble. They end up going back in prison, in and out and again, and she didn’t want to go through that.

Lot of the programs that you guys are talking about now days, it wasn’t around back then for kids like me and my brother. It would have nice to have them, but we made it through somehow, and my father unfortunately he did not seek help or anything.

The kids, it’s not just about educating them. But getting them to understand, understand how it is. Lot of them don’t know what to do about it and don’t know how to deal with it. And no disrespect to any psychology majors or anything in here, but those steps and everything, some of ’em they’ll do it in that room when you are talking to them, they’ll write that paper down or whatever you want them to write, and as soon as they walk out that door, it’s in one ear and out the other.

[They] these kids wanted to learn. When it came to learning the chants and the olis indoors, they were so like, not there. But when it came to outdoors, hand-on everything, they were there. They wanted to. It was all about, like, getting them the motivation to do it. It seems like, to me, that they want to do Hawaiian, they want to know all about the Hawaiian culture. They want to. And that was the way that we got through to a lot of kids. And it’s sad, cause we couldn’t do it again this year and a lot of the kids wanted to.” Jessica DeCosta (Student, University of Hawai‘i at Hilo, farmer), testimony before the N. Haw. Justice Task Force, O‘ahu Summit (2012).

18 In both state and non-state facilities, the Task Force has been apprised of individuals being reclassified while at non-state facilities, and having his or her stay extended due to minor infractions. The Task Force has also been apprised of individuals being reclassified while at non-state facilities, and such reclassification leading to a longer stay in a non-state facility. Varna Nakihei, who developed the program Ka Hale Pomaika‘i on Moloka‘i, reflected on numerous systemic issues within the criminal justice system. “I think we need to make pono internal with our state facilities first before even thinking of bringing our people home, the power and control, things that go on inside the facilities. I’ve seen firsthand in Maui Community Correctional Center one cousin getting one more year on top of that for sharing saimin with his cousin who came in. Stupid little things like that. Uncle them talk about ho‘oponopono, the restor-
2. The criteria for sending and returning prisoners to and from non-state facilities remains unclear.\textsuperscript{19}

3. The recidivism rate for prisoners who are incarcerated at non-state facilities on the continental United States is slightly lower than the rate for prisoners who are incarcerated in Hawai‘i, however that difference has been shown not to be statistically significant.\textsuperscript{20}

4. In reaction to recent statements from the Governor, and the Justice Reinvestment Initiative of 2011, any planned return of prisoners to the community from non-state facilities should be accomplished in a planned and responsible manner, with public safety being the primary concern.\textsuperscript{21}

5. Prisoners in non-state facilities may be at a disadvantage in parole hearings as hearings are currently conducted remotely.\textsuperscript{22}

6. Native Hawaiians who are sent to non-state facilities are effectively given an unequal burden in relation to non-Hawaiian prisoners. This burden includes a dislocation from his or her home, connection to the land, culture, family, job prospects, and community support.\textsuperscript{23}

7. There are economic costs associated with inaction. The increase in the state’s contract with non-state facilities has

\textsuperscript{19} Concern has been expressed from several individuals and organizations regarding the Corrections Corporation of America’s capacity to choose whom it will house in non-state facilities.

\textsuperscript{20} See Id. for full discussion.

\textsuperscript{21} Governor of the State of Hawai‘i, Neil Abercrombie, articulated a desire to return inmates housed in non-state facilities during the passage of the Justice Reinvestment Initiative. “The measures before us today — HB 2515 and Senate Bill 2776 — reflect that collaborative effort… With the enactment of these bills, I believe we are taking the next step forward in our commitment for control of our criminal justice system, to exercising resources in a sensible and clear-sighted, clear-headed manner in Hawai‘i, and strengthening the capacity for people to return to society who have been separated from it.

From my first day on the job as Governor, I said we will bring our inmates housed in mainland facilities back home and keep our taxpayer dollars in the state. With the enactment of these bills, we are taking the next step forward in our commitment to taking control of our criminal justice system, bringing back vital resources to Hawai‘i and strengthening communities for people to return to.” Neil Abercrombie, Governor, state of Hawai‘i, June 12, 2012.

\textsuperscript{22} The Department of the Attorney General made a similar finding in 2011. “[T]he impression of both authors is that, on the whole, it seemed more difficult for inmates on the mainland to obtain parole (via video conferencing) than it was for inmates who met face-to-face with the HPA in Hawai‘i. This possibility was acknowledged by members of the HPA, who suggested that a ‘live,’ face-to-face connection with candidates for parole facilitates interpersonal communication and thus may raise the likelihood of release.” Department of the Attorney General, supra note 18, pg. 34, Fn. 23.

\textsuperscript{23} Vote taken. One vote to abstain from the Finding: Department of the Prosecuting Attorney, City and County of Honolulu. One vote against the Finding: Department of the Attorney General. “The listed conditions generally apply to all convicted felons who are sentenced to terms of incarceration, and it would be discriminatory and unlawful to treat one group of prisoners differently from others based on broad assumptions about their race or ethnicity.”

The Task Force found the words of Justice Thurgood Marshall’s dissenting opinion in Olim v. Wakinekona, 461 U.S. 238 (1983) particularly relevant: There can be little doubt that the transfer of Wakinekona from a Hawaii prison to a prison in California represents a substantial qualitative change in the conditions of his confinement. In addition to being incarcerated, which is the ordinary consequence of a criminal conviction and sentence, Wakinekona has in effect been banished from his home, a punishment historically considered to be “among the severest.” For an indeterminate period of time, possibly the rest of his life, nearly 2,500 miles of ocean will separate him from his family and friends. As a practical matter, Wakinekona may be entirely cut off from his only contacts with the outside world, just as if he had been imprisoned in an institution which prohibited visits by outsiders. Surely the isolation imposed on him by the transfer is far more drastic than that which normally accompanies imprisonment. Id. at 253.

Delbert Wakinekona, for whom the above-cited case is named, testified before the Task Force through his attorney, Robert Merce, Esq. Mr. Wakinekona was granted a compassionate release by the Hawai‘i Paroling Authority on October 28, 2011, after forty one years of imprisonment at various non-state facilities.

“[W]hat has happened is that Native Hawaiian men have been ripped away from their families, their communities, and from the ‘aina. You’ve heard in detail about what ‘aina means to Native Hawaiians. The psychic and spiritual impact of this removal; of being alienated from their ‘āina; is devastating. How are they to heal? How are they to atone? This is what our work is addressing: protecting their rights to engage in their religious practices.” Sharla Manley, Esq. (Attorney, Native Hawaiian Legal Corporation), testimony before the N. Haw. Justice Task Force, O‘ahu Summit (2012).
increased exponentially since its inception in December, 1995, and now costs over $60 million per year.\textsuperscript{24} The costs of the state’s contract with private prisons may increase without action.\textsuperscript{25}

8. Due to their incarceration on the continental United States, many paroled offenders do not have adequate transition plans regarding employment, housing, and reintegration into the community beyond compliance with parole.\textsuperscript{26}

9. There has been concern expressed regarding inmates being lost in the system at the completion of their maximum sentence (“maxing out”) without adequate transitional programming, follow up services, or housing. As a result, these individuals have a more difficult time reentering into the community.\textsuperscript{27}

10. The state, and particularly the Department of Public Safety, may modify and/or renegotiate its contract with non-state facilities regarding Native Hawaiian religious and cultural practices. Concern was expressed regarding classification of offenders and placement in special holding units.

11. Concern was expressed regarding the reclassification of offenders in non-state facilities and his or her placement in special holding units.

E. Issues in state-operated correctional facilities and their impact on Native Hawaiians

1. Inmates released from state correctional institutions often do not have any form of official identification. Official identification is necessary to apply for employment, find housing, and comply with conditions of parole.\textsuperscript{28}

2. Prisoners and former prisoners in state facilities report that they received inconsistent treatment from staff, which leads to inefficient effectuation of programs and policies.\textsuperscript{29}

3. The trauma informed care model has been instituted at the Women’s Community Correctional Center on O’ahu. This rehabilitative model is based on being informed by the trauma that the majority of inmates have experienced, and attempts to mitigate future traumatic experiences while incarcerated.\textsuperscript{30}

\textsuperscript{24} As of 2010, it costs approximately $139 per day to incarcerate an inmate in Hawai‘i, and at least $77 per day to incarcerate him or her in a non-State prison on the mainland. Note, however, that unlike the in-state per day cost, the private prison cost estimate is not all-inclusive. See, Management Audit of the Department of Public Safety’s Contracting for Prison Beds and Services, Marion M. Higa, State Auditor. 2010. at pg. 21.

\textsuperscript{25} “We found no policies and procedures aligned with Hawai‘i Public Procurement Code, no objective evaluation to measure CCA’s performance, and no plan for contracting for private prison beds to reasonably ensure fiscal responsibility in obtaining the best value at prices the State can afford.” Id. at 24.

The Department of the Attorney General has warned against the trend of approaching questions about criminal risk and rehabilitation through the sole prism of cost. “In Hawai‘i and elsewhere, problems such as these suggest the shortsightedness of relying on a perspective that stresses short-term savings at the expense of policies and programs aimed at improving the prospects for offenders’ rehabilitation and the satisfaction of their basic needs and rights. States and their leaders have a responsibility to care not only about crime control and the costs of incarceration but also about the present welfare and future well-being of criminal offenders and the communities from which they come.” Supra note 18, pg. 35.

\textsuperscript{26} Discussion with Native Hawaiian pa’ahao at Hālawa Correctional Facility, August 29, 2012.

\textsuperscript{27} Vote taken. One vote to abstain from the Finding: Department of the Prosecuting Attorney, City and County of Honolulu, “The specific bases for the second sentence are unclear; not all ‘maxed out’ individuals have such difficulty. One vote against the Finding: Department of the Attorney General, “The Department has not arrived at a formal position on the ‘maxing out’ issue and would need to study it from several legal standpoints in order to do so. This finding also posits an unstudied causal link by stating that the maxing-out issue ‘leads to’ (i.e., causes) other problems, when in fact the relationship may be correlational. In addition, this Finding does not seem directly or clearly related to reducing the overrepresentation of Native Hawaiians in the justice system relative to other ethnic groups.”

See Supra notes 11, 13.

\textsuperscript{28} Discussion with Native Hawaiian pa’ahao at Hālawa Correctional Facility, August 29, 2012.

\textsuperscript{29} Vote taken. Two votes against the Finding: Department of the Prosecuting Attorney, City and County of Honolulu, “It is unclear how Native Hawaiians are affected by these factors differently than other ethnicities.” Department of the Attorney General, “This Finding does not seem directly or clearly related to reducing the overrepresentation of Native Hawaiians in the justice system relative to other ethnic groups.”

Discussion with Native Hawaiian pa’ahao at Hālawa Correctional Facility on August 29, 2012, and at the Women’s Community Correctional Center on August 30, 2012.


\textsuperscript{30} Toni Bissen, J.D., Executive Director, Pū‘ā Foundation, has done extensive training in the application of the trauma informed care model. See http://puafoundation.org/Welcome.html
4. Prisoners do not get regular and consistent visitation with family members, including extended family members. It is important for an inmate’s rehabilitation that he or she is allowed regular visits with family members and extended family members.

5. Services to prisoners with mental health issues and the chronically homeless will continue to be a major challenge for the Department of Public Safety. The Department of Public Safety is in a settlement with the federal Department of Justice regarding mental health treatment at O‘ahu Community Correctional Center. There continues to be a concern about meeting the mental health needs of inmates.

F. Restorative justice practices and their application to Native Hawaiians

1. Indigenous cultural practices present appropriate models in ameliorating the disproportionate impact of the criminal justice system on indigenous communities. The Native Hawaiian community and nations such as Aotearoa, Australia, and Canada have had recent successes in adopting indigenous cultural practices.31

2. Restorative justice practices, such as sentencing circles, mediation, and community justice, are an option for certain defendants.32

3. Incarceration and recidivism among the Native Hawaiian population will likely decrease as various contributing factors such as poverty, unemployment, healthcare, housing, and education are improved.33

G. Lack of services for Native Hawaiians who come into contact with the criminal justice system

1. Culturally-based programs are effective, and should be expanded upon.34

---

31 “Every time we’ve travelled the world [as a Hawaiian delegation,] other indigenous groups all seem to always come back and gravitate to the fact that ‘you folks had your own country. You had your own set of rules.’ And one thing seems to be stark: when the overthrow took place, new management was in place.” Kealiʻi Makekau (Cultural practitioner, Advocate), testimony before the N. Haw. Justice Task Force, Oʻahu Summit (2012).

Carmen Heteraka, a Maori cultural practitioner and prisoner advocate, traveled from Aotearoa to Honolulu specifically to testify before the Task Force regarding the Maori experience in New Zealand’s criminal justice system.

“Indigenous people are disproportionately represented in the justice system all over the world[,] [Y]et the programmes that are designed for inmates and offenders often overlook cultural identity as a significant factor. In Aotearoa (New Zealand), culturally specific programmes, such as the Maori Focus Unites, designed for Maori (indigenous people of Aotearoa) inmates, have been implemented since 1992.

During the past 20 years, indigenous communities have met to address poor health, housing, education and employment statistics. These gatherings provide opportunities for indigenous solutions to address indigenous problems. O’Rongo/O’Longo is a Maori cultural programme for offenders and their families that has been presented and piloted in Hawai‘i, as a result of these gatherings.

The significance of this programme is its authenticity and cultural relevance as a genuine empowerment tool. This holistic model is designed to restructure inmates’ meaning of life and self worth by drawing parallels between indigenous law and contemporary law. By reconnecting people to their ancestral knowledge and responsibilities, drawing on the legacies and great examples left by their ancestors, this programme guides the participants through a clear pathway of understanding their noble birthright that ‘no action’ is a violation of their sacredness.” Carmen Heteraka and Michelle Brenner, Conscious Connectivity, Creating Diversity in Conversation, Chap. 9 Holistic law approach to indigenous incarceration, at 207 (2011).

32 Vote taken. One vote to abstain from the Finding: Department of the Prosecuting Attorney, City and County of Honolulu.

“I have personally been to Aotearoa and visited on both in the standard New Zealand system and also the Maori system. They have their own sentencing circles. That’s restorative justice. First Nations in Canada have sentencing circles. That is restorative justice. The Mapuchi Indians, the people in Chile have that. And we have our own ho’oponopono. In each of those, anybody can enter. You don’t have to have a card or a blood quantum, they self identify. You want to use that system you can do it, they don’t discriminate for or against anyone. So restorative justice is my first recommendation as a lens, telescope and a microscope.” Michael Town (Cir. and Fam. Ct. Judge (ret.), Board Member, Hawai‘i Paroling Authority) testimony before the N. Haw. Justice Task Force, O‘ahu Summit (2012).

33 Numerous individuals testified regarding the value of employment for ex-offenders in reducing recidivism. See Supra note 13.

34 Vote taken. Two votes to abstain from the Finding: Department of the Prosecuting Attorney, City and County of Honolulu, “All programs, including culturally-based programs, must be evaluated on their individual merits.” Department of the Attorney General,”Culturally-based programs are inherently neither effective nor ineffective; that question depends on the quality and appropriateness of individual programs. Among other important considerations, effective programs target specific criminogenic factors and utilize evidence-based practices, and the manner of doing so can be culturally-based when appropriate.”

Maui County Councilwoman and lifetime Maui resident Gladys Baisa reflected on the history of the highly successful Maui Economic Opportunity B.E.S.T. program that she and other community members have developed over several decades. “I went to a Head Start meeting in San Francisco decades ago now.
2. Mental health services, such as psychopharmacological medication, counseling, and case management for those with mental health conditions are inadequate, and are an important component for the rehabilitation of Native Hawaiian pa‘ahao.  

3. If the state of Hawai‘i had sufficient and appropriate community-based alternatives to incarceration for substance abuse, mental health treatment, and housing at all points within the criminal justice system, the state may reduce its reliance on incarceration. 

4. There is no comprehensive directory of culturally-based programs and service providers for Native Hawaiians who come into the contact with the criminal justice system. The Office of Hawaiian Affairs is presently working on a directory of Native Hawaiian organizations and community-based service providers. 

5. Pretrial detainees have limited access to community-based programs. This lack of access leads to pretrial detainees remaining incarcerated. 

6. There are residential transition programs that have had problems with zoning regulations. In order to effectuate services, these programs must be supported by the state and counties with appropriate zoning. 

7. Neighbor island programs which present effective community-based models such as: Maui Economic Opportunity, BEST and Neighborhood Place, Wailuku on Maui; POHAKU on Kaua‘i; Ka Hui o Mo‘omomi on Moloka‘i; and other programs because on Kaua‘i, and you’ll hear about this from our prosecutors here, and she’ll be talking about the POHAKU program. She created a diversionary restorative justice program where you put that offender in the community they offended. [I]magine that offender going into the community that they injured and performing community service, but also include an element of cultural education…teaching the Hawaiian values.” Mel Rapozo (Kaua‘i County Councilman), testimony before the N. Haw. Justice Task Force, O‘ahu Summit (2012). 

Regarding advocacy for specific courts, “[t]here is a good reason that the major development of therapeutic courts and problem solving courts have been sweeping the country: they work.” Hon. Michael Town (Cir. and Fam. Ct. Judge (ret.), Board Member, Hawai‘i Paroling Authority) testimony before the N. Haw. Justice Task Force, O‘ahu Summit (2012). 

“The need to have diversionary programs in which we can actually take our people out of the prison track and into a program. I talk about diversionary programs because on Kaua‘i, and you’ll hear about this from our prosecutors here, and she’ll be talking about the POHAKU program. She created a diversionary restorative justice program where you put that offender in the community they offended. I imagine that offender going into the community that they injured and performing community service, but also include an element of cultural education... teaching the Hawaiian values.” Mel Rapozo (Kaua‘i County Councilman), testimony before the N. Haw. Justice Task Force, O‘ahu Summit (2012). 

35 “Today, it is estimated that about half of state and federal prisoners meet criteria for drug abuse and/or dependence, yet fewer than 20% actually receive treatment. Longitudinal studies show that treatment begun in the criminal justice system and then continued in the community garnered more lasting reduction in criminal activity and drug abuse.” Lorraine Burgess (Ho‘omau Ke Ola) testimony before the N. Haw. Justice Task Force, O‘ahu Summit (2012). 

36 The Task Force was cautioned to be respectful of the ways in which culturally based programs differ from other programs that are designed from non-cultural models. Wayde Lee formerly established a culture-based substance abuse residential program on Moloka‘i for youth, Ka‘u‘ou Ola Hou, and produced a curriculum for his program. He shared his conception of best practice. 

37 Vote taken. Two votes to abstain from the Finding: Department of the Prosecuting Attorney, City and County of Honolulu; Department of the Attorney General. “There are legitimate concerns on both sides of this issue. This Finding does not seem directly or clearly related to reducing the overrepresentation of Native Hawaiians in the justice system relative to other ethnic groups.” 

See Clean and Sober Task Force, vetoed by the Governor on July 10, 2012. as S.B. 2536 H.D.2; Senate Concurrent Resolution 102. 

ers should be expanded upon.38

8. The Department of Public Safety, in conjunction with the Office of Hawaiian Affairs, is working on the expansion of appropriate models to utilize the work of culturally-based service providers, such as the pu’uhonua model.39

H. Continuing State efforts to ameliorate the disproportionate representation of Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system.

1. Effecting change in the criminal justice system will require a sustained, continued cooperation among state agencies and private organizations, past the work of the Native Hawaiian Justice Task Force.

38 Vote taken. Two votes to abstain from the Finding: Department of the Prosecuting Attorney, City and County of Honolulu, “More information is needed to evaluate these particular programs on their individual merits.” Department of the Attorney General, “While the Department strongly supports the intent behind this Finding, we do not have sufficient information to endorse or attest to the effectiveness of these specific programs.”

See, Supra note 35.

“I often say, if you wanna see the most novel innovative models, look to the rural communities of Hawai‘i. They’ve had to do more with less for a really long time, and as a result, they figured out how to integrate, collaborate, and provide the best quality care with the fewest resources and providers. And as a result, the models they’ve come up with are truly innovative and positive, and they are strengths based.” Aukahi Austin (Executive Director, I Ola Lāhui Rural Hawai‘i Behavioral Health Program), testimony before the N. Haw. Justice Task Force, O‘ahu Summit (2012).

“In a nutshell, everybody is talking about we need best practice, which is tied to culture. We got that concept, we got it. Nobody in this hall can tell me that you never hear that. We’ve been telling you guys a long time ago about that. Ho'oponopono process. Kūkulu Kumuhna, Hala, Mīhi, Kala, Pā‘ina is all part of the ho’oponopono process. The thing that really grabbed me as a Hawaiian [is this:] you can’t put me in a small office and do individual counseling, because I feel smothered, I feel cluttered in a small little room. I had my individual counseling in the lo‘i, pulling taro with my counselor. I had my individual counseling bouncing in the water at Pōka‘i Bay. They took me outside the building because universe, Hawai‘i, ‘ha.’ Language; oli, kāhea, stories, legends all of that. In a group setting, like I said ho’oponopono style. Going out to paddle. Taking the things out while practicing my culture at the same time. My house on the non-profit that I started on Moloka‘i, Hale Pōmaika‘i, what we do is we bring in the kupuna and we teach them about Lapa‘au.” Varna Nakihei, (Maui Economic Opportunity BEST Reintegration program), testimony before the N. Haw. Justice Task Force, O‘ahu Summit (2012).

39 The Office of Hawaiian Affairs, in conjunction with the Department of Public Safety, held a summit regarding pu‘uhonua and its prospective effects on November 2-3, 2012. See House Bill 2848 (2011), and passed into law as Act 117, Session Laws of Hawai‘i (2012).
Recommendations

A. Data regarding Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system

1. In order to inform future policy decisions regarding the disproportionate representation of Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system, the state of Hawai‘i needs to identify what data is to be collected at different points within the criminal justice system, improve data integration, and improve data infrastructure amongst state agencies.40

2. In order to provide a richer understanding of why Native Hawaiians are disproportionately represented in the criminal justice system, the legislature should provide sufficient resources to the Department of the Attorney General for a study that includes additional control variables.41

B. The disproportionate representation of Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system

1. The portions of the 2011 Justice Reinvestment Initiative which were not passed into law as Senate Bill 2776, Act 139 (2011) and House Bill 2515, Act 140 (2011), are to be reintroduced. As Native Hawaiians are disproportionately represented in the criminal justice system, the Native Hawaiian community will be disproportionately affected by any inaction to reduce inmates or fix problems within the criminal justice system.42

2. The State of Hawai‘i, including the executive and judicial branches, shall create and maintain an inventory of service providers, including, but not limited to, culturally-based service providers that interact with inmates and former inmates. Such services may include services that address mental health, substance abuse, workforce development, and housing.

3. Training regarding implicit, unconscious bias is to be mandatory and ongoing for all employees who have contact with individuals in the criminal justice system. The Judiciary has recently held such a training for judges.43

4. As funding for indigent legal services has fallen, the State of Hawai‘i legislature, through the grants-in-aid program, must adequately fund and maintain services for indigent clients.

5. While progress has been made, the Department of Public Safety must continue to bring mental health services in all state correctional facilities to constitutionally required levels.

6. In order for the Office of the Public Defender to deliver optimal services, the legislature must approve and fund more deputies, related support staff, and facilities.

7. The Office of Hawaiian Affairs and Native Hawaiian community stakeholders should collaborate with State agencies for the further development of culturally-based standards and evaluations that may be applied to Native Hawaiians who come into contact with the criminal justice system. The legislature should assist in funding this effort.

8. To enhance employment opportunities and thereby reduce recidivism, businesses that hire ex-offenders should be given a tax credit incentive.

9. All efforts should be made to conduct hearings before the Hawai‘i Paroling Authority with the inmate/defendant

40 Vote taken. One vote against the Recommendation: Department of the Prosecuting Attorney, City and County of Honolulu, “This language appears to over-simplify the proposed mandate.” Department of the Attorney General, “Prior to initiating any large and costly data integration scheme, a single study should be conducted that includes critical control variables that may vary by race/ethnicity and are known to dramatically impact case processing and outcomes (e.g., criminal history; current offense; parole/probation status).”

41 The Task Force is cognizant of socio-economic variables and their effect on criminal behavior.

42 Vote taken. Two votes against the Recommendation: Department of the Prosecuting Attorney, City and County of Honolulu, “For further information, see the Department’s testimony pertaining to Senate Bill 2776 (2011), House Bill 2514 (2011), Senate Bill 2777 (2011), and House Bill 2525 (2011).” One vote to abstain from the Recommendation: Department of the Attorney General, “The report should identify the areas that were removed from these bills. Otherwise, the public will need to sort through SB 2776 and HB 2515 and determine which pieces were not enacted.”

43 Vote taken. One vote against the Recommendation: Department of the Prosecuting Attorney for the City and County of Honolulu, “The specific bases for this recommendation are unclear.”
physically present.\textsuperscript{44}

10. The legislature should develop guidelines for the Hawai‘i Paroling Authority concerning the setting of the minimum term and factors to be considered for parole. One such consideration is access to programs.\textsuperscript{45}

11. Legislation should be passed that establishes “earned time/good time” credit for inmates’ behavior, including program participation, while incarcerated.\textsuperscript{46}

\textbf{C. Prevention and early intervention programs for Native Hawaiians}

1. The Task Force recognizes the importance of addressing disproportionate contact of juveniles with the justice system, and recommends the legislature consider the linkage between early prevention and/or intervention with adult incarceration.

2. To reduce intergenerational incarceration, resources must be directed towards children of incarcerated parents. The legislature should consider a mandatory educational program for guardians of minors with a parent in prison, similar to the “Kids First” program currently in place throughout the Judiciary.

\textbf{D. Impact of the state’s contracting with non-state facilities on Native Hawaiians}

1. The State of Hawai‘i should the increase oversight of non-state facilities pursuant to best practices.

2. The Department of Public Safety should develop criteria regarding the transfer of inmates between facilities that promote the access and completion of programs prior to an inmate’s minimum sentence date.

3. The Department of Public Safety should ensure that inmates are placed in facilities that are consistent with their classification as determined by the Hawai‘i classification system.

4. The Department of Public Safety should ensure that inmates scored classification is not overridden for the purposes of placing him or her in specific programs or facilities.

5. The Department of Public Safety should determine the number of prisoners placed in private facilities who have been reclassified after out-of-state transfer, the basis for reclassification, including infractions, and the amount of time added to his or her sentence as a result of such reclassification.

6. The Department of Public Safety should ensure that prisoners who are housed in non-state facilities, and who are eligible by classification for pre-release transitional programs such as work furlough, are returned to Hawai‘i with sufficient time to complete programs prior to their tentative parole date.

7. The Department of Public Safety should ensure that all allegations of abuse of inmates are independently investigated and that appropriate corrective action is taken.

8. The Department of Public Safety should ensure that inmates are allowed to follow his or her religious and Native Hawaiian cultural practices, and retain sacred cultural items that do not pose a danger to the security of the institution.

9. Consistent with community testimony and the Governor’s repeated statements, prisoners held out of state should

\textsuperscript{44} Vote taken. Two votes against the Recommendation: Department of the Prosecuting Attorney, City and County of Honolulu, “All reasonable efforts should be made.” Department of the Attorney General, “The Department would support this Recommendation if the first sentence read, ‘All reasonable efforts…’ Face-to-face hearings should be conducted whenever feasible. There is no information indicating that this Recommendation is expected to reduce the overrepresentation of Native Hawaiians in the justice system relative to other ethnic groups.”

\textsuperscript{45} Vote taken. Two votes against the Recommendation: Department of the Prosecuting Attorney, City and County of Honolulu, “The specific bases and parameters for this recommendation are unclear.” Department of the Attorney General, “Additional time is needed to review this issue due to the breadth of the recommendation. In addition, completing this Recommendation could not be expected to reduce the overrepresentation of Native Hawaiians in the justice system relative to other ethnic groups.”

\textsuperscript{46} Vote taken. Two votes against the Recommendation: Department of the Prosecuting Attorney, City and County of Honolulu, “For further information, see the Department’s testimony pertaining to House Bill 218 (2011).” Department of the Attorney General, “Like the previous Recommendation, additional time is needed to review this issue due to the breadth of the recommendation. Completion of this Recommendation is not expected to reduce the overrepresentation of Native Hawaiians in the justice system relative to other ethnic groups.”
be returned. The State should make the return of inmates a top priority, and inmates should be returned as soon as practicable, consistent with public safety.\textsuperscript{47}

10. Once the inmates are returned from private, out of state facilities, the State should consider passing legislation prohibiting future use of private for-profit correctional facilities.\textsuperscript{48}

E. Issues in state-operated correctional facilities and their impact on Native Hawaiians

1. The Department of Public Safety should ensure that prior to work furlough and/or release, all inmates obtain official state identification and if needed, a social security card.

2. The staff at all state-operated correctional facilities should receive annual, mandatory training regarding trauma informed care.\textsuperscript{49}

3. Prisoners should be allowed consistent and regular visitation with immediate and extended family members. The Department of Public Safety should make every effort to ensure that adequate staffing is available for consistent and regular visitation.

4. All staff should treat inmates with respect.

5. All staff should enforce the Department of Public Safety’s operating rules and policies consistently.

F. Restorative justice practices and their application to Native Hawaiians

1. The State should recognize and support community and grassroots efforts that promote indigenous cultural practice models demonstrated to be successful in Hawai‘i or elsewhere.

2. Through funding and resources, the legislature should support the Office of Hawaiian Affairs’ efforts to increase community resilience and address poverty, unemployment, healthcare, and housing as part of addressing the systemic cycle of the incarceration of Native Hawaiians.

G. Lack of services for Native Hawaiians who come into contact with the criminal justice system

1. The State should ensure adequate funding and staffing to treat offenders with mental health conditions, including the support of mental health services such as psychopharmacological medication, counseling, and case management.

2. The State should ensure adequate funding and staffing to create a comprehensive directory of culturally-based programs, indigenous models, and service providers for Native Hawaiians who come into contact with the criminal justice system.\textsuperscript{50}

3. In order to reduce its reliance on incarceration, the state of Hawai‘i should assist in the development of sufficient and

---

\textsuperscript{47} Vote taken. One vote against the Recommendation: Department of the Prosecuting Attorney, City and County of Honolulu, “While the Department is not generally opposed to the return of prisoners held out-of-state, public safety must be the top priority at all times.” One vote to abstain from the Recommendation: Department of the Attorney General, “It would be preferable for all Hawai`i inmates to be housed in State facilities within state boundaries, and for those housed on the mainland to be returned as soon as practicable, consistent with public safety.”

\textsuperscript{48} Vote taken. Two votes against the Recommendation: Department of the Prosecuting Attorney, City and County of Honolulu, “As circumstances change and evolve, the State should be permitted to consider all options; a prohibition of this type would bar the State from considering even in-state private correctional facilities that present a cost-saving to the State.” Department of the Attorney General, “The State should retain the ability to manage its correctional facilities in accordance with changing fiscal realities. In addition, completing this Recommendation could not be expected to reduce the overrepresentation of Native Hawaiians in the justice system relative to other ethnic groups.”

\textsuperscript{49} Vote taken. One vote against the Recommendation: Department of the Attorney General, “While such training is important and should be supported, the specification of annual training (to presumably include retraining all employees every 12 months) was arbitrarily suggested and not properly researched in terms of need, value, and costs. In addition, completing this Recommendation could not be expected to reduce the overrepresentation of Native Hawaiians in the justice system relative to other ethnic groups.”

\textsuperscript{50} Vote taken. Two votes against the Recommendation: Department of the Prosecuting Attorney, City and County of Honolulu, “OHA should continue its work on a comprehensive directory, as indicated in Finding G.4.” Department of the Attorney General, “Finding G.4. notes that the Office of Hawaiian Affairs is already working on creating such a directory. This will be a valuable resource.”
appropriate community-based alternatives to incarceration for substance abuse, mental health treatment, and housing at all points within the criminal justice system.\textsuperscript{51}

4. Neighbor island models such as such as: MEO BEST, Wailuku Neighborhood Place on Maui; POHAKU on Kauaʻi; Ka Hui o Moʻomomi on Molokaʻi; and others are effective models that should be supported, expanded upon, replicated and/or reinstated.\textsuperscript{52}

H. \textbf{Continuing state efforts to ameliorate the disproportionate representation of Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system}

1. There should be permanent funding and full time staffing in the appropriate agency or independent body to oversee and implement recommendations of the Native Hawaiian Justice Task Force, and to continue to review this issue.

2. Future efforts to implement recommendations and review this issue should include a wider breadth of community and agency representation than the current Native Hawaiian Justice Task Force.

\textsuperscript{51} Department of the Prosecuting Attorney, City and County of Honolulu, “One suggestion, was for OHA to fund and/or collaborate with private organizations to create a residential substance abuse treatment facility designed specifically to treat Native Hawaiians.”

\textsuperscript{52} Vote taken. Two votes to abstain from the Recommendation: Department of the Prosecuting Attorney, City and County of Honolulu, “More information is needed to evaluate these particular programs on their individual merits.” Department of the Attorney General, “While the Department strongly supports the intent behind this Finding, we do not have sufficient information to endorse or attest to the effectiveness of these specific programs.”
Native Hawaiian Justice Task Force Summit Research Methods

October 2012

Data Collection

Testifiers were asked by the Task Force to respond to two questions:

1. Why are Native Hawaiians disproportionately represented in Hawai‘i’s criminal justice system? (and)
2. How can we address this serious matter?

A total of seven public Native Hawaiian Justice Task Force community meetings were held between June 7, 2012 and August 3, 2012: One on O‘ahu, and one each in Hilo, Kona, Maui, Moloka‘i (which included participants from Lāna‘i), and Kaua‘i. One hundred and fifty-eight participants provided either oral and/or written testimony. Table 1 depicts the location, dates, and number of participants providing testimony by island. Table 2 depicts the gender and group classification. Testifiers were classified into one of five groups: agency representative, community member, professional, pa‘ahao, or ʻohana of current or former pa‘ahao.

Testimony of 149 oral testifiers was audio recorded. Since the Kaua‘i hearing recording did not capture three of the 13 oral testimonies only 10 oral testimonies were available for analysis from Kaua‘i, yielding a sum total of 146 oral testimonies. Oral testimony from the O‘ahu meetings were electronically transcribed using Dragon Naturally Speaking software. However, the accuracy of the conversion from audio to text was inconsistent. Five staff members revised these transcripts to assure transcripts accurately captured all oral content. Given the project timeline and resources, a decision was made to complete data analysis directly from audio recordings for the remainder of testimonies from the Neighbor Island hearings.

Written testimony included the text of testimony as well as documentation of programs and research. Thirty-three participants submitted both written and oral testimony. Inclusion of both pieces of data from a single person is justified if the content is sufficiently different; otherwise, inclusion of the same content twice overinflates coding frequencies which could lead to erroneous conclusions. To determine if both pieces of data from a single testifier were distinct enough to justify inclusion in the final data set, the content of the written testimony of each of these 33 testifiers was compared to their oral testimonies. Twelve written testimonies were deemed sufficiently unique from the testifier’s oral testimony that both their written and their oral testimony were included as separate pieces of data in the final data set. Twenty-one written testimonies were determined to have content that was consistent enough with oral testimony that inclusion would be repetitive; subsequently, only the oral testimony was included in the final data set for these 21 testifiers.

Figure 1 depicts the entire data collection process used to secure the final 158 unique testimonies: 49 were transcribed oral testimonies from O‘ahu testifiers, 97 were audio recordings of oral testimony from Neighbor Island testifiers, and 12 were unique written testimonial documents.
Table 1  
**Number of Testimonies by Location**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Date(s)</th>
<th>Number of Testimonies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O‘ahu</td>
<td>June 7-8, 2012</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kona</td>
<td>July 7, 2012</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hilo</td>
<td>July 14, 2012</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maui</td>
<td>July 21, 2012</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moloka‘i/Lāna‘i</td>
<td>August 1, 2012</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaua‘i</td>
<td>August 3, 2012</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Technical difficulties resulted in only 10 of 13 oral testimonies being recorded.*

Table 2  
**Participant Role by Gender**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant Role Group</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>(n=83)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>(n=75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency representative</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community member</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pa‘ahao</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Ohana of pa‘ahao</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Data Analysis**

The data analysis team consisted of three members of the OHA Research Division: the Director, a Program Manager, and Program Improvement staff member. Qualitative data analysis is an iterative process that requires continual interaction and review of the data to ensure confidence in the final interpretations and reliability of the coding process. Results typically include the frequency of a set of common codes used to group content with similar meaning, then clusters of codes with similar content referred to as themes, and finally synthesized descriptive analysis of “what the content really means.”
Figure 1.
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- **HONOLULU COUNTY**
  - (n = 55)*

- **HAWA‘I COUNTY**
  - Hilo (n = 26)*
  - Kona (n = 15)*

- **MAUI COUNTY**
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- **158 RECORDED TESTIMONIES**
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  - Only oral testimony submitted (n = 125)

  - Written testimony compared with oral testimony
    - Written content deemed repetitive to oral testimony (n = 21)
    - Written content deemed sufficiently unique to also be included (n = 12)

  - Written content not included
  - Oral testimony included (n = 21)

- **158 TESTIMONIES USED FOR FINAL DATA ANALYSIS**

- **ORAL TESTIMONY**
  - Transcribed from Oahu hearings (n = 49)
  - Audio Testimony from neighbor island hearings (n = 97)

- **WRITTEN TESTIMONY**
  - (n = 12)

*Number of testimonies by county
A standard process was used:
1. Initial analysis of three Oʻahu testimonies independently analyzed to establish a coding scheme;
2. Initial assessment of inter-rater agreement of codes, discussion and to refine the coding scheme;
3. Independent analysis of all data by each team members;
4. Further checks on stability of inter-rater agreement, team discussions of analysis, refinement of the coding scheme, and creation of clustered themes (iterative);
5. Reanalysis of data with final coding scheme, final frequency counts of codes, and;
6. Discussion among research team members of final results.
7. A grounded theory approach was applied in which initial codes were not pre-determined but rather emerged from the data to identify common components across testimonies.

**Inter-rater agreement.** Three testimonies were used to establish the initial inter-rater agreement of themes. All testimonies were independently analyzed. The initial inter-rater agreement was 83%, an acceptable measure of reliability. Subsequent checks resulted in inter-rater agreements that ranged from 75% - 84%, with inter-rater agreement increasing throughout the process of analysis.

**Thematic identification.** Qualitative coding for two research questions frequently involves the creation of two separate coding schemes: one for each question. However, research team members quickly realized that testifier’s responses to the two questions asked by the Task Force were intertwined throughout their testimony. Some testifiers did not answer the questions directly but instead shared their personal experiences and opinions. Thus, separate coding by question was deemed inappropriate and a single coding scheme was utilized for both questions.

During July to September 2012, researchers worked independently on data analysis and met regularly to share their findings. For transparency purposes, the initial coding and cluster schemes were presented in Appendix A. Following the completion of the second wave of data analysis researchers met to finalize the coding scheme and debated how new and previously identified themes could be combined, collapsed, and interpreted. The lead researcher applied team recommendations to draft the initial results in response to each question asked by the Task Force. A final review of the results was completed by all research team members to assure consensus with overall data interpretation.
Results
Results are organized according to the two questions posed by the Task Force:
1. Why are Native Hawaiians disproportionately represented in Hawai‘i’s criminal justice system? (and)  
2. How can we address this serious matter?

Table 3 presents the frequency of the final coding scheme used to categorize responses to both questions. Notably, the majority of testifiers did not address both questions. Refer to Appendix A for the initial coding scheme and clustering process used to clarify major themes. Culture, policies/laws, and reintegration via multiple approaches emerged as the final themes of how testifiers perceived the task force could address this matter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Final Coding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Models/ approaches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy/ Laws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separation from culture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reintegration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture based programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correctional Corporation of America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic issue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintain cultural beliefs and practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preventative Drug Treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restorative Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pu‘uhonua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho‘oponopono</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sovereignty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recidivism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disparate Sentencing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q1. Why are Native Hawaiians disproportionately represented in Hawai‘i’s criminal justice system?

As shown in Figure 2, participants identified separation from culture and policies, procedures, and laws as critical reasons for disproportionate representation of Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system. Recidivism was embedded within the discussion of policies, procedures, and laws.
Separation from culture. Participants provided a variety of perspectives on the historical causal factors associated with the overrepresentation of Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system. These perspectives were expressed in terms such as “historical trauma”, “generational trauma”, “cultural trauma”, “loss of identity”, “loss of connection to culture”, “discontinued use of cultural practices such as hoʻoponopono”, “loss of self-governance”, and “disconnected from land”. Many participants linked these factors directly to the 1893 overthrow of the Hawaiian Kingdom which led to a shift in the economic and political balance of power. This resulted in loss of land, language, cultural practices, and decreased psycho-social well-being. Participants described this systemic historical cultural loss as negatively impacting the social structure and dynamic of families and thereby individuals.

“Cultural historical trauma is the aftermath of colonization, the aftermath of dominant, one culture over another. It’s psychological, it’s economical, it’s social, it’s emotional and here’s a corker, it’s intergenerational. And why is it intergenerational? It’s intergenerational because when that trauma happens, there’s usually grief, depression, existential grief, a certain numbness, cultural wounding, a sense of not belonging…I don’t belong here, I don’t belong there. The makua, the parents and grandparents who are suffering from, or have been impacted by cultural historical trauma, have a real tough time getting out of that and raising their kids in a healthy way….We in the Hawaiian community have understood that trauma was such an important link to a lot of the disparities, a lot of the socioeconomic issues that affect our people.”

Policy, procedures, and laws. Testifiers consistently expressed concerns related to their knowledge or understanding of current policies, procedures, and laws regarding the justice system, public services, and prisons. These included: 1) inequity/disparate sentencing, 2) lack of access to quality legal representation, 3) inability of ex-offenders to get jobs, housing, education, and other public benefits, 4) issues related to the transfer of Hawai‘i prisoners to the continent.

Inequitable sentencing and lack of access to quality legal representation. The most common statements in this category dealt with inequitable sentencing. In addition to the historical context, many participants testified that inequitable sentencing and lack of access to quality legal representation were contemporary factors contributing to overrepresentation of Native Hawaiians in prison. Although several participants mentioned inequitable sentencing as a cause for high rates of Native Hawaiians being incarcerated, they did not elaborate; however, one participant presented some data that supported those identifying this as a problem. The data presented raised the question as to the qualitative decisions being made by officers and prosecutors providing support to those who testified that institutional racism was linked to sentencing decisions. Some participants shared personal stories of their experience with public defenders and pointed out that these offices are inadequately staffed. An overburdened system reduces the chance for quality legal representation. In addition, a few written testimonies included data on both adults and youth that supported disparity at all points of contact with the criminal justice system.

Inability to get jobs, housing, education, and other benefits. Many participants testified that current policies and laws make it difficult for ex-offenders to get jobs, housing, education, and other public benefits. This inability to succeed post-incarceration was recognized as a direct link to recidivism.
“Once a person has been incarcerated and then released, they become part of a permanent underclass as jobs, public benefits, education and opportunity are legally and practically placed out of reach so that the person reoffends and is caught in a closed [cycle] of marginalization. The period after incarceration is referred to by some scholars on the subject as the period of invisibility. It is legal to discriminate against ex-offenders in housing, employment, and public benefits. If you think getting a job is hard, try doing it with tattoos and a felony and with your prior work experience coming from inside or from ten years ago.”

“The biggest contributor to not reoffending and against recidivism is maintaining long-term employment.”

**Transfer of Hawai‘i prisoners to the continent.** The most consistent message relayed by participants was how imperative it was to “bring our prisoners home.” Native Hawaiian prisoners sent to the continent had no ʻohana support or contact. There were various issues raised about the inability for these prisoners to practice their religion, in particular the Makahiki, or to speak their language. In contrast to these statements, were comments made by some testifying that their ʻohana serving time in prisons on the continent did not want to come back to Hawai‘i because they regarded the treatment in our prisons as worse. This prompted comments about training for correctional officers and other prison staff, as well as, policies that allow for practice of Native Hawaiian religion equitable to those given Christian based religions.

**Q2. How can we address this serious matter?**

Connection to culture and policies, procedures, and laws were clearly the major themes throughout the testimonies. Figure 3 attempts to visually describe how connection to culture was expressed in relation to other components that participants discussed. Since much of the testimony regarding the solutions necessary to reduce the disproportionality of Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system indicated the need to review and revise existing policies, procedures, and laws it is represented in the figure as the context influencing the expression of many of these relationships. The relationships between the components pictured in the Figure 3 are described in more detail below.

Figure 3. Major themes explaining testifiers responses connected to culture

**Connection to culture.** The major theme throughout the testimony was the importance of culture and the need to incorporate culture in preventive, treatment, and rehabilitative efforts. Participants presented testimony regarding connection to culture in relation to meta-level cultural values (e.g., spirituality), inter-personal layers (e.g., individual, ʻohana), and community.
systemic approaches (e.g., community involvement, agency collaboration). Although *kuleana* and *community* are incorporated into the components linked to the connection to culture theme, they were also the context within which much of the discussion for solutions took place and therefore will be further discussed as *kuleana of the community*.

**Meta-level concepts.** Participant testimony reflected three values classified as sovereignty, spirituality, and *kuleana*.

**Sovereignty.** While some sovereignty testimony was connected to the loss of sovereignty and the ultimate impact of that on the current incarceration problem, there was testimony that suggested 1) when the Hawaiian nation is formalized there must be consideration of how current and future incarcerated Native Hawaiians will be handled, 2) Native Hawaiians are already sovereign and therefore the state is obliged to remedy the situation of incarcerated Native Hawaiians.

**Spirituality.** There was much testimony that spirituality is an innate element of being Hawaiian. Spirituality was expressed in the traditional Hawaiian belief one’s connection to ‘āina, in the Christian tradition of “ke akua” (e.g., God, the Lord), and in the metaphysical “sense of being.” Many testified that when working with the incarcerated to connect them back to their culture, spirituality was inherent in that connection. One cannot work the land, paddle, fish, make nets, dance hula, oli, and participate in other cultural activities without understanding the spiritual connection to the ‘āina, to the kai, and therefore to ke akua. Practitioners, professionals, and community members were keenly aware of the symbiotic link between a Native Hawaiian sense of well-being and spirituality. One does not exist without the other.

“Because we have such limited time, I wanted to talk about a lot of things, but I had to narrow it down to things of relevance so, I’m going to speak on the importance of Hawaiian culture, traditional practices and approaches towards rehabilitation of native Hawaiians. Towards healing, ho’oponopono…… My main point that I’m pushing today is cultural rehabilitation. In the prison, religion is very powerful. They encourage the inmates to get involved. Right now there is a struggle going on with Hawaiian religion. Hawaiians are being oppressed, suppressed. Early on they were told that Hawaiian’s don’t have a religion. And the second questions came back, “Okay, where’s your bible?” and my response what “why do we need a bible?” Our bible is codified in our mo’o lelo, our chants and prayers. ….But, the thing about it is these inmates, the Hawaiian man, they have the iʻini, the desire to reconnect; find out who they are, where they came from. And that is what makes it very powerful and important. All the other western cognitive restructuring, life skills, whatever, they go because they’re mandated to go.

One thing I learned is that every single Hawaiian that was there, they all respected, no matter how hardened a criminal they were, they respected prayer, and they respected the ceremony. It’s a struggle for the makahiki for the prisons and the inmates. Why Hawaiian spiritual and culture. Like I said, they have the iʻini, the desire. This is something that they want to do that they cherish. The universal values such as *kuleana*, responsibility, respect, initiative, leadership, are all embedded in our cultural practices. Teamwork, cooperation, it’s already embedded. In order for the ceremony to happen, in order for the hula to be pono, you have to cooperate. You have to have discipline. You have to show up…. But I know that a spiritually grounded inmate, at least from the point of the administration, is an easier inmate to manage. But for me, I think the prison administration, they’re lolo, they’re stupid. If they allow these guys to find themselves, they would find that they would have a lot less violence and trouble with the inmates.”

**Kuleana.** Participants testified to the *kuleana* of individuals, ‘ohana, and the community. There was varied testimony regarding the *kuleana* of an individual; however, these could be reduced to three groups of comments. The first were those individuals testifying who expressed a belief that it was their *kuleana* to work with current or formerly incarcerated adults and youth, at-risk youth not yet associated with the criminal justice system, or in prevention efforts with children, youth, and families. Many expressed their deep felt passion for their field of work. Second were those who believed it was their *kuleana* to pass on their cultural knowledge and practices with this population. Third were comments from formerly incarcerated individuals and family members of formerly incarcerated individuals who stated that an individual must be ready to change and must be willing to accept their *kuleana* as part of that change. This would include rules of programs, participation in program activities, holding themselves accountable for their own behavior while incarcerated, acknowledgment of their crime or the circumstances that brought them to prison, and where possible restoration in some form.

In testifying to the *kuleana* of ‘ohana as part of the solution there were two main types of statements. There were statements that parents must raise their children “correctly” and “teach them the right way.” All of the ‘ohana should be responsible for supporting parents to raise their children with a sense of values and respect. Others testified that if an individual goes down the wrong path, then the ‘ohana can be a support network. These statements were tempered by others from professionals, as well as, former pa’a/hao who noted that in some cases there was too much hurt and relational damage suffered by ‘ohana and therefore a reluctance or refusal to be part of any program or ho’oponopono process. In this sense, the ‘ohana too must be ready for change before reconciliation at any level can be successful.
There was much testimony regarding the kuleana of the community in addressing the disproportionate numbers of Native Hawaiians in the criminal justice system. The community, which for purposes of this report, is defined as a broad set of agencies, systems, programs, volunteer organizations or individuals, faith-based organizations, and any others working to address this issue. Four primary solutions emerged in connection to the community’s kuleana. These will be described in the following section.

Kuleana of the community
As shown in Figure 3, the four themes that emerged regarding the kuleana of the community included: 1) culture-based approaches and programs, 2) community involvement, 3) prevention, and 4) agency collaboration.

Culture-based approaches and programs as necessary components for reintegration. The testimony data consistently revealed the need for culture-based approaches and programs as intervention for those incarcerated and as post-incarceration support. Culture-based programs in conjunction with education and relevant job training was viewed as critical to ensuring successful transition back to the community. Many participants shared their own programs that worked with incarcerated men and women to reconnect them to their culture. These programs include cultural components such as learning about Hawaiian history, cultural practices, individual moʻokūʻauhau (genealogy), participating in cultural practices such as oli and makahiki, and using hoʻoponopono as a method for healing family relationships. There were programs presented that did not clearly describe cultural components, but focused on addressing life skills, mental health services, and employment skills. Job skills training for today’s employment market was discussed as necessary to ensure that upon release ex-offenders can find employment to support themselves. Refer to Appendix B for a list of programs mentioned by participants during their testimonies.

“The Hawaiian perspective is very important…There is power in the language that is native to these islands…Culture based means using the kupuna and other as mentors. There is strength in particular we look at Native Hawaiians that are incarcerated we have to communicate to them as fellow community members that there is already a strength they have in being native…”

“What I wanted to begin with, aside from the fact that I wanted to make it important, that culture is a major part of recovery and rehabilitation, I wanted to talk about being able to give them the culture because it grounds them. It grounds them in who they are, what they’re doing, but it also is a reminder for those that were blessed enough to be raised in a family that instilled morals and values. For those that come out of incarceration that had that instilled, what happens is at Hoʻomau Ke Ola, they are reminded. It’s brought back to them, just like all those things they used to practice in childhood, small kid time. It’s almost like a little tug on the ear to remind them what they should already know and begin to practice and open up their eyes to.”

“The biggest contributor to not reoffending and against recidivism is maintaining long-term employment.”

“Can we link criminal justice system with the needs of the economy and develop a system of training in green jobs, ag, and sustainable ag that will bring Hawaiian values, culture, connection and create long-term plan that will help Native Hawaiians and the state?”

Many participants clearly articulated the necessity of continuing culture-based approaches and programs post-incarceration. Puʻuhonua was suggested as an approach to ensure that men and women who are struggling after release from incarceration could find continued connection to their culture, healing, education, and training. In some cases, the puʻuhonua described would include transitional housing. A few participants further suggested Kulani Facility as a possible puʻuhonua site. Others suggested puʻuhonua as a more conceptual approach rather than physical space. It was suggested that agencies could themselves serve as puʻuhonua via their services and relationship with their clients.

“We need transitional programs incorporating culture and help with getting jobs, childcare, employment skills, place to live, etcetera.”

Community involvement as a support mechanism for reintegration. Community involvement varied from individuals who participate in programs on a volunteer basis to share their knowledge and skills to local businesses that support programs or hire formerly incarcerated individuals to faith-based organizations that provided assistance in obtaining housing, work training, and spiritual guidance. Testimony from program personnel also indicated their awareness of the important role that the community played as a support network for those formerly incarcerated. There was also testimony that revealed several programs started as grass-roots initiatives to fill a need identified within the community regarding formerly incarcerated adults and youth who were having difficulty reintegrating.
Prevention to reduce Native Hawaiians involvement with the criminal justice system. Comments related to prevention were clearly articulated in association with education, economic opportunities, and substance use. Some participants presented testimony that it was through education of our youth to be adequately prepared for the employment needs of the future that we will prevent their need to engage in risky, illegal actions. Other participants testified we need to create economic opportunities for our youth and our ex-offenders. Economic opportunities must be sustainable and provide adequate wages to ensure our youth can afford to remain at home and our ex-offenders can survive financially. Several participants indicated that one of the most pervasive problems in the Native Hawaiian community is substance abuse. These participants discussed prevention in association with preventative drug programs. Unlike the cultural programs described above, these were often statements for the need to have more such programs without further elaboration. Agency collaboration must be supported to ensure successful reintegration. All role groups attested to needing better reintegration services. For agency leaders, staff, and other program personnel, this translated into a call for collaboration between agencies to ensure that each inmate has a transition plan prior to release. The transition plan would ensure that the connections to acquire basic necessities, mental health and medical services, and enrollment in transition programs were ready so the inmate does not feel overwhelmed and become vulnerable to old habits. Those testifying for the transition plan also advocated for the state to make it easier for agencies to collaborate to achieve better reintegration.

“So what, at a task force level, can happen that can make that goal? Well, that can be things like supporting bills and legislation to promote interagency cooperation. You know, because we can talk about the evaluation component of things, we can talk about specific organizational or specific branches within the state’s health system; we can talk about needing to cross between judiciary and health; and yet, we could also similarly talk about the need for cross between DOE and the judiciary system. I mean, it’s a similar need; it just depends on what part of the system. So if we’re talking about transformational thought, then we need people that can all play well together at the table.”

Policies, procedures, and laws
It was clear from numerous testimonies that policies, procedures, and laws are integrated into all aspects of how Native Hawaiians enter, exist within, and exit the criminal justice system. Table 4 depicts the group response to Q2 related to policies, procedures and laws.

| Table 4 Categories of testifiers responses regarding how the problem could be addressed |
| Support bills and legislation that would promote interagency collaboration and take down barriers between agencies. |
| Support bills and legislation that would support the creation of public-private partnerships for services. |
| Support bills and legislation that require cultural components to be integrated into programs addressing Native Hawaiians during and after incarceration. |
| Create incentives for businesses to hire ex-offenders. |
| Create policies that would require the development of a discharge or transition plan for inmates to ensure a system of care post-incarceration. |
| Advocate to change Medicaid policies so that culture-based treatments can be covered. |
| Eliminate the contract with CCA and until that occurs ensure that there are provisions within the contract that allow for cultural practices such as ‘ōlelo, pule, and oli. |
| Change the current adult and youth criminal justice system to include a broader range of options to such as diversion and restoration options. |
| Review and revise the misdemeanor laws for both adults and youth. The current laws ensure that people are imprisoned for substantial amounts of time for crimes such as trespassing. Many of these non-violent offenders are housed with violent offenders. |

Personal experiences as examples of the impact of incarceration
Selected excerpts from three individuals are presented below. These experiences highlight the impact of connection to culture and community kuleana (in the form of programs aimed at reintegration). They highlight impact of incarceration on ‘ohana,
success, barriers to successful reintegration, struggle, and are a testament to both formal and informal community based programs addressing healing, prevention, rehabilitation, and reintegration.

Testimony Excerpt #1. “Aloha, I’m DD and I thank you for letting me share. My mom has been through a lot with me, a very, very bumpy road. My mother didn’t understand prison. When I was in prison, my mother said she was going to bring me some fish and poi, and I was like, no mom, you can’t bring fish and poi to prison. So, she had to go through a process too. It was very traumatizing, not just for me, but for them. I come from a family of educators. My mother’s a teacher, my sister’s a teacher and I was trying to be a teacher myself and then my life went another path. I believe that our prison is going to trail blaze this place because the women that come out of prison, when we come out of prison, we go to TJ Mahoney’s and they teach us time management skills, they teach us life skills. They teach us how to handle life on the outside… “life on life’s terms,” that’s what they say. Most of us in prison are not educated. A lot of them are not educated. ……What happened in our prison, with our warden and his vision, of puʻuhonua, he wanted it to become a place of healing. …I feel like I am a product of his vision because when I got to that prison he was just starting up and a lot of things happened to me. I got sent to Kentucky and I could relate to AA sharing about how the men joined gangs. We shouldn’t be leaving the islands to go to prison. I went to Kentucky and my mother was very traumatized because she would visit me every single weekend in prison. Even though I did all these things, she still was my biggest support. You’ve got two minutes to pack your bag and go to Kentucky when they call your name, you’re going, and you’re on the flight.

It was really a traumatizing event for me. But some things that happened to me there, and even though that traumatized me, when I got back, I got into the Hina Mauka program which was a really awesome program in our prison system. …because our Hina Mauka program in the WCCC is based on Hawaiian culture. Every day, we wake up and chant. We do our chants, we have beautiful women in prison. Last year they went to honor the three kupunas, the halau and they danced, the women from prison. And they danced beautiful kahiko. It was just so touching that everyone in the audience cried.

You know, when you’re sitting in prison, you might look at us like criminals…but no, we just made bad choices. Some of us had dramatic events that happened in our lives that took us on a different path, but we’re all the same. I got out of prison and I went to TJ Mahoney and I did six-months there. I learned a lot of skills, learned how to get back and reintegrate back into society and stay committed. Because what I learned in TJ’s was that it was the small things that I had to take care of, because those were the things that were going to take me back out. I had to learn to follow rules, and that was tough. TJ’s has lots of rules.

I’m here today as the peer support specialists for WCCC which two years ago when I got out of prison, the warden said do you want to be on my team? And I said what team? And he said the Trauma Informed Initiative team and I said, sounds good to me, because trauma is what gets us to prison. A lot of us, and even if you’re a normal person, you still got trauma issues, and most of us stuff those issues. And that’s why women are always in recidivism because they don’t open up and take the power out of the trauma. We join the trauma team and I got to go to Baltimore. Two years ago I went to Baltimore and got to meet some other peer specialists from all over, women that were in prison, with all kinds of stuff that happened to them. They were up on a panel and they were inspiring. I looked at this one lady and I said “I want to be like that,” because she was inspiring. She came from a very trauma family. She came from prison; she had her master’s degree and all this good stuff. And that’s what I wanted to do be. So last year, I got to go to Boston and learn about the use of seclusion or restraint. We’re trying to eliminate that in our prisons.

So today, I’m trying to go back and get my degree at LCC. I’m full time. …On the outside I formed a little group with me and my girlfriends, because all the girls I went to prison with, we share a bond. We’re so tight that not even our family knows the secrets that we shared with each other. Unfortunately, the paroling authorities don’t let us hang out together because it’s illegal action. So we’re not really allowed to hang out, but there are ways you can do that. Because my parole officer is a wonderful lady and she, she sees what I’m doing out in the community, she’s allowed me to associate with other [inaudible] people’s that are also doing well. But once they violate their parole, we’re not allowed to hang out anymore. And we understand that. We are on the outside trying to help another sister survive, trying to teacher her skills. Work is important, you have to get up, go to work on time. Those are the small things in your life that you have to be faithful to. In our prison, one of the best things that came out of prison for me, was not just the ability to learn about myself, but to make a connection with my god. We have bibles in our prison. We have lots of bibles in our prisons. We have lots of people from all over donate things to us. We have all kinds of churches in our prison. And for us, just building a foundation is what is going to work.”

Testimony Excerpt #2. “Both my parents were in and out of prison when I was younger. I grew up with those struggles. I am the oldest of three kids. I have two younger brothers and my mom actually had my youngest one when she was in prison. And she ended up in Woman’s Way cause she saw hard everyone was having trouble. They end up going back in prison,
Two years ago I was in high school and I was one of those kids, roaming the streets, doing everything that everyone else used to do. The kids, it’s not just about educating them. But getting them to understand, understand how it is. And a lot of them do, but a lot of them don’t know what to do about it and don’t know how to deal with it. And no disrespect to any psychology majors or anything in here, but those steps and everything, some of ‘em they’ll do it in that room when you are talking to them, they’ll write that paper down or whatever you want them to write, and as soon as they walk out that door, it’s in one ear and out the other. And I see it all the time and I only see it getting worse and these kids are getting younger and younger, starting younger and younger. And it’s scary to see that. Cause my brother is still struggling to this very day with self-esteem and everything cause he believed that he had to go, he had to, he believed that cause of my father and cause my mother and everyone else that went through that. Cause it wasn’t just that, it was before them too, they saw that. He believed that that’s what he was supposed to do, that was where he was going to end up. And I believed that too. I thought I was just going to end up a druggie, end up doing all that kind stuff, but I was grateful and thankful that my grandma tried to step in and save us. And she raised me, teaching me about Hawaiian ways and Hawaiian morals and all that. And I am an active, to this very day, an active civic club member of Ko’olauapoko Hawaiian Civic Club. And I give them credit cause they are very active and last year I was part of a cultural mentoring program for these kids and Alu Like, funded by Alu Like, and it was basically I just helped these kids and we took them all around the island. Took them all around the east side. We put them to work in the lo‘i and everything. And these kids wanted to learn. When it came to learning the chants and the oli’s indoors, they were so like, not there. But when it came to outdoors, hand-on everything, they were there. They wanted to. It was all about, like, getting them the motivation to do it. It seems like, to me, that they want to do Hawaiian, they want to know all about the Hawaiian culture. They want to. And that was the way that we got through to a lot of kids. And it’s sad, cause we couldn’t do it again this year and a lot of the kids wanted to.

Task Force Member: JJ, we are so glad that you did come and share with us. I have one question. JJ, you mentioned that the program is not happening this year. Why is that? Do you know?

JJ: We couldn’t get the funding to do it. And that was one of the main motivations for the kids to actually show up was that they were getting paid to do it. But they showed up on their own too, even when we didn’t provide transportation. We were doing it all on our own. We had aunts watching them, aunts helping us, you know. We put them to work with tools and everything, cutting down bamboo, we cleaned heiau, we took them to Kahuku up in the military area, like, searching for different heiau. And they loved it. They loved it. They wanted to keep going, they wanted to learn more and everything. And just teaching them those Hawaiian ways was enough for them.

Testimony Excerpt #3. “Aloha, my name is KK. For me I am a convicted felon. I’ve been pulling time for over fifteen years now. I was recently let out for the very last time. You know I’ve heard a lot of good things about a lot of points in this room today and it really affects me because I’ve been a criminal for all of my adult life and most of my teenage years. I’ve stole, done drugs, dealt drugs, did everything possible to find my place. You know it’s funny about what Pastor D was saying about that heart yeah. It reminds me of something that my grandma used to tell me when she was raising me up. You know would always have dishes in the sink. And she would tell me, ‘KK, how come you nevah wash the dishes in the sink’. I said because I nevah make those dishes that’s mom’s dishes. She said if you see dishes in the sink you just wash it no matter what whose dishes that is. And you when GG was bringing me down here I was asking what is this meeting all about. Is the goal to get funded? He looked at me and he said it’s not about money it’s about the people. And you know what, after hearing everybody share I see that I’m involved in a room full of that same type of person. And that’s why I hang around with people like Pastor D because I want to be that type of individual, that type of man. You see the many, many years I’ve been on the street and involved with drugs and pretty much lost I’ve lost that part of me. I come from one strong Hawaiian family, one big Hawaiian family and we’ve grown up with those same principles, morals, and values that is taught in the Ka Malama program. See I’ve done everything. I’ve been in Maui Drug Court twice. Awesome program. I’ve been a, I am a BEST client. I am an OHA Scholar recipient. I’m going on my third semester in the human services field trying to get my degree in human services. After going into the Ka Malama program it opened my eyes on principles, morals, and values that I lost along the way. You know malama, ‘ohana, ho‘oponopono. You know Mary Kawena Pukui said malama kekahi i kekahi, take care of one another. We cannot do this alone. We cannot do it just one individual trying to change the Native Hawaiian people. You know I nevah know that Pastor D was never funded for that program. That was all from aloha, from the heart because he care about us,
me. You know to have someone to have a network of people who cares about someone like me who has never done nothing
good in his life who is always trying to find a place in life. It feels real good.

He said he been doing it for nine years without funding, just from the heart. But if I do my math correctly when I went to the
class and I went, I did it twice it was so good so I get two certificates. I can almost teach the class [laughter]. But if I do my
math correctly I had at least twenty people in my class. Now over nine years if that class was held once a year, 20 x 9, and
you think of that number and you think that is not so bad so what if five people of that 20 actually succeed and make it. You
calculate how many children each individual has, wives, and then you calculate that number but it is not just that individual
that is in the class but at least 5 to 10 people that individual goin’ affect. Not only the family but when that individual goes
into the community. I believe that to be you know an awesome part of restoring our Hawaiian people. It has affected me so
much that is why I am in the human services because I want to open up my own adolescent youth program and I want to
instill these same teachings that I learned in the Ka Malama program about principles, morals, and values of old Hawaiian
traditions.”

Discussion of results
This report attempted to extract common themes from testimony data collected at the Native Hawaiian Justice Task Force
meetings. While there were many testimonies collected across the state, it is interesting that the identified causal factors and
solutions were so similar. Culture, specifically the loss of and the re-connection to, was identified as the most critical element
to understanding the problem and how to “fixit.” The importance of a continuum of service approach by implementing
culture-based programs that include education and meaningful skill building both during an individual’s incarceration and
post-incarceration was articulated across testimonies. This continuum of service approach was viewed as a critical component
to ensuring successful reintegration into the community. The figures depicted in this report attempted to visually describe the
major relationships expressed by participants.

It should be noted that a limitation of the data is the extent to which respondents are representative of their community and
their respective role group. This being noted, the testimony data provided rich insight into the dynamic interplay between
culture, individuals, families, communities, and other systems (e.g., judicial, corrections, etc.). Given more time, the data set
could be further analyzed to determine specific suggestions by role group, gender, and/or location.
### Appendix A

**Initial coding categories**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First round</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Clustered categories</th>
<th>Combined categories</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Separation from culture</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Separation from Culture</td>
<td>Separation from Culture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy/ Laws</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reintegration</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Reintegration, Models/approaches, Culture based Programs, Pu‘uhonua, Maintain cultural beliefs, Ho‘oponopono, Training</td>
<td>Culture-based approaches and programs, Reintegration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Models/approaches</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture-based programs</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic issue</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Policy/Laws, CCA – Mainland Prisons, Disparate Sentencing, Recidivism, Restorative Justice</td>
<td>Policies, procedures, and laws, Prevention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correctional Corporation of America (CCA) - Mainland prisons</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sovereignty</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Economic Issues, Preventative Drug Treatment</td>
<td>Sovereignty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pu‘uhonua</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preventative Drug Treatment</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restorative Justice</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintain cultural beliefs</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho‘oponopono</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disparate Sentencing</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recidivism</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Name</td>
<td>Service Provider</td>
<td>Primary Population Served</td>
<td>Location(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEST program</td>
<td>Maui Economic Opportunity, Inc.</td>
<td>Former inmates</td>
<td>Maui</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho‘ola Lahui Hawai‘i</td>
<td>Kaua‘i Community Health Center</td>
<td>Servicing the needs of Native Hawaiians and their families</td>
<td>Kaua‘i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho‘omau Ke Ola</td>
<td>Ho‘omau Ke Ola</td>
<td>Substance abuse patients</td>
<td>O‘ahu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hui Malama</td>
<td>Hui Malama Learning Center</td>
<td>Youth</td>
<td>Maui</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ka Hale Ho‘ala Hou No Na Wahine</td>
<td>TJ Mahoney and Associates</td>
<td>Formerly incarcerated women</td>
<td>O‘ahu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kamalama Program</td>
<td>Kamalama Program</td>
<td>Community, Native Hawaiian men</td>
<td>Maui</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ke Alaula - WCCC</td>
<td>Hina Mauka</td>
<td>Homeless people and incarcerated women</td>
<td>O‘ahu, Kaua‘i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maui Family Peace Center</td>
<td>PACT Parents And Children Together</td>
<td>Victims and survivors of domestic violence (Men, Women and Teen offenders)</td>
<td>O‘ahu, Maui, Kaua‘i, Hawai‘i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men of PA‘A (AKA Positive Action Alliance)</td>
<td>Men of PA‘A (AKA Positive Action Alliance)</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Hawai‘i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MST - Multi-systemic Therapy</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>Juvenile offenders</td>
<td>Statewide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Name</td>
<td>Service Provider</td>
<td>Primary Population Served</td>
<td>Location(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian Health Consortium</td>
<td>I Ola Lahui</td>
<td>Native Hawaiian community</td>
<td>O’ahu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O’ahu Family Peace Center</td>
<td>PACT Parents And Children Together</td>
<td>Victims and survivors of domestic violence (Men, Women and Teen offenders)</td>
<td>O’ahu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive Optimism Helps all of Kauai Unite (P.O.H.A.K.U.)</td>
<td>Kaua‘i County</td>
<td>Adult and juvenile male and female offenders who are at the arraignment and plea stage in court</td>
<td>Kaua‘i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Chance Mentorship Program</td>
<td>Hope Service Hawaii</td>
<td>Homeless and those returning from incarceration</td>
<td>Hawai‘i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supporting Families Affected by Incarceration (SFAI)</td>
<td>Keiki O Ka ‘Aina Family Learning Centers</td>
<td>Children, caregivers of children with incarcerated parent(s)</td>
<td>O’ahu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Neighborhood Place</td>
<td>Neighborhood Place of Wailuku</td>
<td>Children and families</td>
<td>Maui</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transcendental Meditation</td>
<td>Transcendental Meditation™ Program – Hawaii</td>
<td>Correctional officers, prison staff, inmates</td>
<td>O’ahu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCCC - Trauma Informed Care Initiative</td>
<td>Pu‘a Foundation</td>
<td>Incarcerated women and their families</td>
<td>O’ahu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women Helping Women</td>
<td>Women Helping Women</td>
<td>Women and children of domestic violence</td>
<td>Maui</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women’s Way</td>
<td>Salvation Army</td>
<td>Adult and adolescent women and their infants and toddlers</td>
<td>O’ahu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WorkNet</td>
<td>WorkNet, Inc.</td>
<td>Individuals emerging from long-term incarceration, substance abuse treatment, welfare assistance, temporary disability, homelessness, and economic hardship</td>
<td>Statewide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
E HO‘OKANAKA.

BE A PERSON OF WORTH.